## Falenciak, Jerzy

## Note : P. Colt Inv. Nr. 13, 306 - release from παραμονη

The Journal of Juristic Papyrology 2, 75-79

1948

Artykuł został zdigitalizowany i opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez **Muzeum Historii Polski** w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej **bazhum.muzhp.pl**, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.



## NOTE

## P. COLT INV. Nr. 13, 306 - RELEASE FROM HAPAMONH\*)

In Magnes' Anniversary Book<sup>1</sup> M. Schwabe comments a papyrus<sup>2</sup> which was found with some other documents by H. D. Colt<sup>3</sup>, the head of the Colt Expedition in the ruins of the town Auja Hafir<sup>4</sup>, South Palestine, in 1955—1956. The document being drawn up in Greek and Arabic by

\*) The Author, my pupil, wrote this note without knowing the respective passage in Prof. W. L. Westermann's excellent essay (above, p. 9 ff.). Prof. W. L. Westermann and Dr. J. Falenciak both agree that P. Nessana (Colt) Inv. Nr. 15, 306 concerns a release from  $\pi a \rho a \mu o \nu \eta$ . Nevertheless we decided to publish this note, as there is a difference between both Authors in the interpretation of this papyrus. While Prof. Westermann sees in this  $\pi a \rho a \mu o \nu \eta$  a general service contract, Dr. Falenciak understands it as an antichretic  $\pi a \rho a \mu o \nu \eta$ . In addition, Dr. F. tries to explain also the gift of 20 solidi, what was out of interest of the eminent American scholar (R. T.).

<sup>1</sup> Jerusalem, Hebrew University Press, 1938.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. C. J. Kraemer Jr., The Colt Papyri from Palestine, in Actes du Ve Congrès International de Papyrologie, Oxford 50 Août — 5 Septembre 1957, p. 238 ff.

<sup>4</sup> The name used actually, was in those times *Nessana* or *Nestana*, see Kraemer, as above, p. 241.

the monk *abba* Georgius Victor's son<sup>5</sup> a notary of the local monastery, was probably written in 687 A.D.<sup>6</sup>.

Commenting this document M. Schwabe asserts that a monk abba Kyrin from the Christian<sup>7</sup> monastic congregation in Auja Hafir emancipates his son, the slave of a certain El-Aswad-Ben-Adi, by paying 50 solidi<sup>8</sup>. Of this sum El-Aswad received however only 30 solidi in cash and forfeited 20 to the father<sup>9</sup>. Furthermore El-Aswad declared that Kyrin's son has the full right of movement and can go anywhere he pleases. This is followed by a statement<sup>10</sup> of renunciation of all further claims by El-Aswad himself or his heirs and other successors<sup>11</sup>.

The only witness of this deed was Sergius the son of Georgius Patricius' son <sup>12</sup>.

<sup>5</sup> P. Colt 13, 306 v. 10: Ἐγράφη χερή ἐμἕ (inst. of χειρὶ ἐμῆ) ἀββῶ Γεωργίου Βίπτ[ο]ο[ος] δ[ιακόνου ?]...

<sup>6</sup> As above, v. 11: μην(ὸς) Περιτίου ἐνδ(ικτίονος) ιε΄ ἔτους κατὰ Ἐλουσην(οὸς) φξα Ŧ

<sup>7</sup> The document begins with the formula:  $[\delta v] \delta v \delta \mu \alpha \pi \tau \tau \sigma \delta \vartheta \varepsilon [\sigma \delta]$  — as above, v. 1. And the sign of the cross after the date.

<sup>8</sup> As above, v. 2 f.: Ταῦτα τὰ ἐλυτρόσατο (inst. of ἐλυτρώσατο) ἀββῶ Κυριν παρὰ ᾿Αλασουαδ β΄ ᾿Αδη τὸν υίὸν αὑτο[ῦ] εἰς νομίσμ[α]τ[α] πεντηείχοντα (inst. of πεντήχοντα).

<sup>9</sup> As above, v. 3 ff: 'Εξ αδτõν (inst. of αὐτῶν) νομίσματα εἴκοσι ἐχαρίσα[το ό] 'Αλασουαὸ τῷ ἀββᾶ Κυριν αὐτὰ καὶ τρίακοντα [νο]μήσματα (inst. of νομίσματα) ἐπλέρ[ωσε] (inst. of ἐπλήρωσε) αὐτὰ ἀββᾶ Κυριν τῷ (inst. of τῷ) 'Αλασουαὸ β' 'Αδη...

<sup>10</sup> As above, v. 5 f.: καὶ ἐσεφόνησε (inst. of συνεφώνησε) ὁ ᾿Αλασουαὸ β΄ ᾿Αδη [τῷ ἀββῷ] Κυριν{ιν} διὰ τὸν υίδν αδτοῦ.

<sup>11</sup> As above v. 6 ff: "Ext (inst. of  $\xi\chi\eta$ ) έξο[υσίαν] ὅπο[D] θέλι (inst. of θέλη) η έχι (inst. of  $\xi\chi\eta$ ) έξου[σίαν ύπουδά]ν ἀπελθίν (inst. of ἀπελθείν) καὶ οδδὲν ἕχι (inst. of  $\xi\chi\eta$ ) πρὸς αὸτῷ ᾿Αλασουαὸ χάριν τοῦ υἰοῦ τ[οῦ ἀβ]βᾶ Κυριν περὶ μικ[ροῦ] η μεγάλου καὶ ἕχι (inst. of ἕχη) αὸτὸς πῶς (inst. of παῖς) ἐξουσία(ν) τὴν ψυχὴν α[ὅτοῦ ὅπ]ο[υ]δὰν ἀπέλθη, ἕνα μηδὴς (inst. of μηδείς) ἕχι (pro ἕχη) πρὸς αὸτοῦ λόγον μίτε (pro μήτε) ἐγὼ ᾿Αλα[σουαδ] μίτ[ε] εἰ κληρονόμοι καὶ διαδόχη μου. — See above, note 2.

<sup>12</sup> Σέργιος Γεωργίου τοῦ Πατριχίου μαρτορῶ καθὸς ἀνοτέρο εἔρητε (inst. of καθὸς ἀνωτέρω εἔρηται), as above, v. 12. — The witness Sergius, the writer Georgius and abba Kyrin belonged to the congregation in Nessana-Auja (according to M. Schwabe). However it would not be advisable to be influenced by the actual conditions of the monasticism in the explanation of monastic relations in early Christianity, when the celibate was not obligatory for the monks. It results from Kraemer's notes, as above, p. 244, that the Christian convent in Nessana-Auja was unsimilar to an hermiAccording to M. Schwabe the document concerns a manumission inter amicos. M. Schwabe however is certainly wrong<sup>13</sup>, as the manumissio inter amicos even in its most degenerated form requires five witnesses, while in our document one witness only is to be found<sup>14</sup>. Furthermore a statement like  $\lambda \delta \delta \epsilon \rho o \zeta \delta \delta c \rho o \zeta$  similar is missing.

The passage beginning with the phrase "Eyt  $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}o[\upsilon\sigma(\alpha\nu)]$   $\tilde{\sigma}\pi\sigma[\upsilon]$  $\vartheta\hat{\epsilon}\lambda$ , etc.<sup>15</sup> may help us to understand the real character of our papyrus. This passage shows that El-Aswad gives Kyrin's son the unrestricted right of movement and staying anywhere he pleases. This statement proves that the  $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}o\upsilon\sigma(\alpha)$ of Kyrin's son had been till then restricted. And all restrictions of this kind are characteristic for s.c.  $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\mu\sigma\alpha i^{16}$ .

Thus the meaning of the document becomes clear. Evidently *abba* Kyrin had taken from El-Aswad an antichretic loan of 50 *solidi*, giving him his son in  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \omega \nu \dot{\eta}^{17}$ . Such agreements were in common use in the East.

Instead of selling the boy — a custom which though disapproved and strongly opposed by imperial law was as it seems still observed even after Justinian<sup>18</sup> — the father Kyrin gave him in  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \omega \gamma \eta$  to El-Aswad. In this way the young man did not become a slave but he lost only temporarily his right of movement, as one of his personal rights, included in the general idea of freedom<sup>19</sup>.

tage and its inhabitants to hermits. In P. Colt 13, 306 it is characteristic that only Kyrin and the scribe Georgius have the title of "abba" i. e. "father". The witness Sergius in spite of his Christian name is mentioned without this title. Generally only Kyrin and the notary Georgius belonged to the local congregation in the proper sense. The witness Sergius was not closely connected with it (M. Schwabe differently).

<sup>13</sup> Prof. M. Schwabe remarks that P. Colt 15, 306 may also refer to a *manumissio per epistulam*. This is certainly wrong as the P. Colt 13, 306 represents in fact a bilateral agreement: one party releases the boy from a legal relation, the other pays for it.

<sup>14</sup> See Just. Cod. 7, 6, 1, 1 c, and cf. Taubenschlag, Geschichte der Rezeption des röm. Privatrechts in Ägypten (Studi Bonfante, 1929), p. 384, and further: The Law of Greco-Roman Egypt in the Light of the Papyri, (1944), p. 74 f.

<sup>15</sup> The full text above, note <sup>11</sup>.

<sup>16</sup> <sup>17</sup> See Taubenschlag, *Law*, as above, p. 218<sup>77</sup>.

<sup>18</sup> Cf. Taubenschlag, Law, as above, pp 56 and 104.

<sup>19</sup> See Taubenschlag, Law, as above, p. 218<sup>77</sup>.

77

In our document the dissolution of that relation of dependency takes place. Abba Kyrin returned El-Aswad his loan and El-Aswad released Kyrin's son from the restrictions upon his right of movement<sup>20</sup>. We may therefore characterize the document as a  $\hat{\alpha}\pi\delta\lambda\nu\sigma\iota\varsigma$  from the  $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\mu\nu\sigma\dot{\gamma}^{21}$ .

As a result of his release from  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \sigma \nu \eta$  Kyrin's son regained his right of movement. P. Colt 13, 306 just points it out in the words: "Ext  $\hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \sigma [\sigma \hat{\epsilon} \sigma ] \hat{\sigma} \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\rho} ]$  etc.<sup>22</sup>.

Yet there is one question to be explained. What is namely the meaning of the gift 20 *solidi* conveyed by the creditor to the debtor?

M. Schwabe thinks that this gift may be explained as a sign of Arabic influence. According to Shaffi School it was prescribed that a part of the sum fixed had to be renounced, if a slave freed himself by payment of money<sup>23</sup>. This principle had been probably practised originally, in other cases of emancipation too, until the School of Shaffi restricted it to the manumission by the slave himself. The case in P. Colt 13, 306, according to M. Schwabe, reflects the original conception.

Apart from the fact that this explanation is based on a hypothesis only, without any evidence, it cannot be taken by us into consideration, as this papyrus, as we showed, does not concern at all the emancipation from slavery. We must therefore explain it in another way.

El-Aswad gave Kyrin as a gift 20 solidi for rather economic reasons, f. i. because the boy had been exploited by an excessive work during the  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \sigma \nu \eta$ . Thus El-Aswad obtained more than he had the right to obtain, and therefore he renounced a part of the loan.

It would be wrong to try to explain this renunciation by religious reasons, namely by El-Aswad's desire to exculpate

<sup>20</sup> The expression ἐλυτρόσατο (pro ἐλυτρώσατο) from P, Colt 13, 306 v. 2 does not mean there *redemit a servitute*, but generally "paid for release", cf. Preisigke. Wörterbuch, s. v. λυτρόω.

<sup>21</sup> Cf. Koschaker, Über einige griech. Rechtsurkunden aus den östlichen Randgebieten des Hellenismus (Abhandl. d. philolog.-hist. Kl. d. Sächs. Ak. d. Wiss., XLII Nr. 1), (1931), p. 24 ff.

<sup>22</sup> See the text above, note <sup>11</sup>.

<sup>23</sup> Cf. Th. W. Juynboll, in Enzyklopädie des Islam (M. Th. Houtsma-T. W. Arnold-R. Basset-R. Hartmann), s. v. 'Abd, p. 19. his possible sin, as he restricted the freedom of another human being. We can suppose that both parties were of different religions. *Abba* Kyrin, as well as the scribe and the witness of the document, were doubtless Christians. El-Aswad-Ben-Adi however seems to be, as his name shows, Moslem.

This may have been the reason why our document was written also in Arabic.

the first of the second states the second states in the second states

Jerzy Falenciak

[Warsaw]