## Rafał Taubenschlag

"A labor contract of A.D. 164: CIL III P. 948 no 8", A. Berger, "Classical Philology", vol. XLIII, 1948, no 4: [recenzja]

The Journal of Juristic Papyrology 3, 166

1949

Artykuł został zdigitalizowany i opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez Muzeum Historii Polski w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej bazhum.muzhp.pl, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.



The author deals with sales of land and makes up pp 12-19 a list of 226 contracts of sale.

O. MONTEVECCHI, Vendita a termine (Aegyptus XXIV (1944) pp 131—158).

Not seen.

A. BERGER, A labor contract of A.D. 164: CIL III P. 948 N° 8 (repr. from Classical Philology vol. XLIII N° 4 (1948).

This brilliant analysis of a wax-tablet inscription, belonging to the so-called *Tabellae ceratae Dacicae*, may be mentioned here because of its frequent references to the papyri, see p. 232 on αγράμματοι, p. 2339 on Adjutor as cognomen, p. 23922 on the form of a loan of money.

V. ARANGIO-RUIZ, Chirografi di soldati (Estr. da Studi in onore di Siro Solazzi, Napoli 1948).

In this essay the author examines the chirographs of soldiers: BGU 69 = M. Chr. 142, Mich. VII 438, VIII 440, III 161, VII 445 and points out that they show more or less a tendency towards romanization. All the clauses corresponding to local law are omitted in these chirographs; as for example relating to  $\pi\rho\alpha\dot{\xi}t\zeta$  or to their treatment as a public document, whilst the local clause  $\pi\alpha\nu\tau\dot{t}$   $\tau\ddot{\phi}$  extended in a triple alternative: to the creditor, to the representative or to the heir.

C. BRECHT, Zur Haftung des Schiffers im antiken Recht (Sav. Z. LXII (1942) pp 391-6).

The author denies the influence of the Roman recepta nautarum on the clauses of the Egyptian ναυλωτικαί in the epoch of the principate but admits such an influence for the period after Justinian.

M. LEWIS, The meaning of συν ήμιολία and kindred expressions in loan contracts (Extr. from the Transactions of the American Philological Association vol. LXXVI (1945) pp 126–139).

Σὸν ἡμιολία, when it occurs as a modifier of the amount of the loan in the acknowledgement of receipt-clause (as opposed to the promise — to repay clause) means including 50% interests. While this formula had the undoubted advantage of brevity, it is possible that its use was dictated by more profound legal motives.