# Taubenschlag, Rafał

## "Sur les fonctions du πρά?τωρ ξενι?ών", C. Préaux, "Chronique d'Egypte", XXX, no 59, 1955 : [recenzja]

The Journal of Juristic Papyrology 9-10, 525-526

1955-1956

Artykuł został zdigitalizowany i opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez **Muzeum Historii Polski** w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej **bazhum.muzhp.pl**, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.



#### SURVEY OF LITERATURE 1953-1955

#### W. Kunkel, Der Prozess der Gohariener vor Caracalla (Fesischr. Lewald 81—91) (Basel 1953).

The author discusses the well known inscription of Dmeir (ed. P. Roussel and F. de Visscher, Les inscriptions du temple de Dmeir, Syria 23 [1942—43] 173 ff.) being a part of the record from a trial which took place in the year 216 in Antiochia before the Emperor Caracalla. According to the author it was not a delayed appeal but a case where omitting the viceroy's court the plaintiff addressed directly the Emperor with his  $\xi_{VTEU}\xi_{L\zeta}$  in order to put his case, which undoubtedly had formerly been carried by some lower instances, directly before the Emperor's tribunal. The adversaries contradicted this behaviour from the very beginning. Since however the Emperor declared himself to be ready to examine both parties the adversaries were compelled to follow the summons before the Emperor's court. Then they raised a protest in the procedural form of  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma h$ .

B. A. von Groningen, L'interprétation du papyrus Baraize (J.E.A. 40 [1954] 59-62).

On the basis of his investigation the author comes to the conclusion that this papyrus is of no concern with the right to the ransom of the confiscated land and gives the following translation of the text "Pétéaroêris to Daimachos": "I have to complain of the injustice of Pempsais. Once my wife owned 80 arourae of land which did not suffer from inundations. In time of disorder she took refuge in the Delta and came back only when the regulations referring to the abandoned land became irrevocable. Owing to those regulations Pemsais became owner of 53 arourae of the original area. I now perceive that he appropriated 27 arourae beyond the acquired by sale ones, which legally belong to my wife and to me as her heir. I ask you then to recommend to the local authorities to submit a report on this subject and to bring about my being able to trace the limits between what belongs to me and to Pempsais".

C. Préaux, Sur les fonctions du πράκτωρ ξενικών (Chronique d'Egypte XXX, No. 59 [1955] 107—111).

Miss Préaux asserts that the πράπτωρ ξενιπών intervenes in all cases which are not submitted to the special law of the πόλεις,

in the cases of persons who should be qualified as strangers ( $\xi \notin vot$ ). This word applies therefore to persons who do not belong to the Greek cities of Egypt. Their claims and their debts are qualified as  $\xi \notin vx d$ .

## G. I. Luzzatto, Nota minima sul secondo editto di Augusto ai Cirenai (Festschrift Lewald 101-104) (Basel 1953).

In this article the author expresses the opinion that in the motives of the proceedings against Aulus and Lucius Stacius and against Lacutanius Phileros described in the relative edict, the suspicion of divinatory practices seem to be extremely probable. The proceedings have their origin in the reciprocal accusations of the subjects of the two nationalities: the Roman and the Greek.

#### PENAL LAW

## E. Berneker, Der Versuch im griechischen Recht (Festschrift Rabel II 33-77) (J.C.B. Mohr, Tübingen 1954).

In this instructive study the author discusses the problem of the attempt of murder by poisoning in the Hellenistic law referring to Tebt. I 43 = M. Chr. 40 (cf. my  $Law^2$  431 ff.).

[Warsaw University]

Raphael Taubenschlag

526