

Taubenschlag, Rafał

"Zur quellengeschichtlichen Bedeutung der Leidener Paulus-Sentenzen (Pauli Sententiarum Fragmentum Leidense [Cod. Leid. B.P.L. 2589])", E. Levy, "Studia Gaiana", vol. IV, 1956 : [recenzja]

The Journal of Juristic Papyrology 11-12, 297

1957-1958

Artykuł został zdigitalizowany i opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez Muzeum Historii Polski w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej bazhum.muzhp.pl, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.

C. Préaux, *Les ostraca grecs d'époque romaine de la Bibliothèque Bodléenne à Oxford* (*Akten des VIII. Intern. Kongresses f. Papyrologie = Mitteil. aus der Papyrussammlung der öst. Nationalbibliothek* [P.E.R.] V. Folge [1956] 103—111).

See *JJP* 9—10 (1956) 586—7.

H. Musurillo S. J., *Early Christian Economy: A Reconsideration of P. Amherst 3 (a) = Wilcken, Chrest. 126 (Chron. d'Égypte XXXI, No. 61 [1956] 124—134).*

A re-examination of P. Amh. 3(a) suggests certain economic ties between Christian communities during the comparatively peaceful period when Maximus was bishop of Alexandria (264-282 A.D.). Though it is difficult to determine the exact relationship between the unnamed writer of the letter (who is undoubtedly an Arsinoite writing from Rome), and the Alexandrian chancery, it is perhaps the soundest view to understand that the Bishop and his assistant were acting merely as depositaries for the sums of money being disposed of by the writer. Apart from the question of possible profit to the parties concerned, the arrangement of turning the money into goods with subsequent resale is perhaps simply for safety and convenience without further significance.

E. Levy, *Zur quellengeschichtlichen Bedeutung der Leidener Paulus-Sentenzen (Pauli Sententiarum Fragmentum Leidense* [Cod. Leid. B.P.L. 2589] ediderunt et commentariis instruxerunt G. G. Archi — M. David — E. Levy — R. Marichal — H. L. W. Nelson, in: *Studia Gaiana*, ed. M. David & J. C. van Oven, vol. IV [Leiden 1956] p. 62—78).

This remarkable work deals with the following matters: 1. The question of connection with the *Sentences* of Paulus, 2. their relationship to the later tradition, 3. Real increase of our knowledge, 4. The law of repetundae, 5. The physiognomy of the author of the sentences, 6. The quality of the discovery.

M. Th. Lenger, *Decret d'amnistie de Ptolémée Evergète II et lettre aux forces armées de Chypre* (*Bull. de Corresp. Hell.* LXXX [1956] I, 437—451).