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Artykuł został zdigitalizowany i opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez Muzeum Historii Polski w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej bazhum.muzhp.pl, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.

This is a re-edition of P. Erlangen 11 and P. Oxy. 440. [C.K.]

J. Balty-Fontaine, *Pour une édition nouvelle du „Liber Aristotelis de Inundacione Nili”* (Chronique d’Égypte t. 34 No. 67 (1959) pp. 95—102).

The author argues that the work entitled Περί της του Νείλου αναβάσεως (in Latin translation: *Liber Aristotelis de Inundacione Nili*) was written by Aristotle and expresses opinion that this work deserves a new edition which is under way. [C.K.]


The task undertaken by O. Lenel in his *Palingenesia iuris civilis* was to examine and classify not only the fragments of the ten works of classical jurists contained in the Digesta but also the fragments found in other records. Up to the year of the publication of *Palingenesia* Lenel took into account every known fragment of juristic literature on parchments or papyri. In the period of seventy years which had passed since the appearance of the *Palingenesia* there have been published hundreds of the papyri, many of them containing also the fragments of the works of Roman jurists. For this reason the endeavor to complete the work of Lenel is most opportune.

In the main part of his work Sierl assembles the selected juristic fragments, according to the alphabetical order of its authors adopted by Lenel, and includes them into the *Palingenesia* under respective titles and books. Several of these fragments, known to have derived from the works of Javolenus, Modestinus, Papinianus, Paulus and Ulpianus. Julianus, Labeo, Marcellus, Pomponius and Servius are cited indirectly. The authorship of a number of fragments, marked by Sierl as nos 53—60, are unidentified.

The essay of Sierl shows clearly how slight was the knowledge of classical jurists in the Roman Egypt. This fact corroborates the conclusion upheld by the historians of Roman Law that in the Roman Egypt the imperial constitutions (cf. Käser, *RPR* 1, 7 ff., 171 ff.; Steinwenter, *Aegyptus* 23, 131 ff.;
Gerstinger, Anz. öst. Ak. d. Wissenschaften (1955) Nr 9, 115 ff.) were much better known. What importance have these fragments for the study of the history of the texts of classical jurists in the period preceding the Justinian compilation has recently been shown by F. Wieacker in his monumental and brilliant work Textstufen der klassischen Juristen.

In view of the preparation of the second edition of Lenel’s work, which is soon to come out, the essay of Sierl is welltimed indeed. It has made it possible to take into account in the new edition of the Palingenesia these fragments at least whose authorship and praescriptio have been authenticated. [H.K.]


Chiefly making use of the fragments of the plays of Aeschylus published in the vol. XI of P.S.I. and in the vol. XVIII and XX of P. Oxy. the author discusses the problem of the subject-matter and plot of the ”satyr” drama of Aeschylus. [C.K.]

M. Cramer, Elf unveröffentlichte koptisch-arabische Codices der Österreichischen Nationalbibliothek zu Wien, ihre inhaltliche und paläographische Wertung (Études de Papyrologie. t. 8 (1957) pp. 113—145, with illustr.).

This report was presented at the VIII-th International Congress of Papyrology. It deals with the eleven unpublished Copto-Arabic codices of liturgic content belonging to the collection of the Österreichischen Nationalbibliothek in Vienna (Nos 3, 4, 8 and 10 are Theotokia; Nos 5, 6 and 12 — lectionaries; No. 9 — a Passah-book; No. 2 — a horologium; No. 11 — a hymnbook; No. 7 — a manuscript of Anaphora of Basilius, Georgius and Kyrillus). [C.K.]

W. Schubart, Amtskauion im Ptolemäerstaat (Études de Papyrologie t. 8 (1957) pp. 93—96).

This article contains some remarks concerning the amended reading of the document published by Wilck en in the Fest-schrift Wenger I (1944) 10 ff. (Königseid über eine Hypothek). [C.K.]