

# Taubenschlag, Rafał

---

## "Die neuen Ulpianfragmente und ihre Bedeutung für die Interpolationenforschung", Johannes Stroux, Berlin 1950 : [recenzja]

---

The Journal of Juristic Papyrology 6, 269

---

1952

Artykuł został zdigitalizowany i opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez **Muzeum Historii Polski** w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej [bazhum.muzhp.pl](http://bazhum.muzhp.pl), gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.

SURVEY OF THE LITERATURE  
CHIEFLY 1951 TILL 1952

S O U R C E S

Johannes Stroux, *Die neuen Ulpianfragmente und ihre Bedeutung für die Interpolationenforschung* (Sonderabdruck aus *Miscellanea Academica Berolinensia*, Akademie Verlag Berlin 1950).

In this essay the author is concerned with Ryl. 474 which as was established by Zulueta derives from Ulpian 26 ad ed. He examines how the compilers proceeded with the introductory terminological treatise in D 12,1,1 pr. and shows that they kept the wording making however some omissions. The formerly conjectured interpolations are disproved by this papyrus. There is not to be found in any place an insertion, an emblema of Tribonian. It would be better to avoid the word „interpolation“ for this kind of abbreviations. There is obviously at the bottom of it not an intention to interfere but only that to cancell superfluous words. The same method was followed by the compilers in D 50, 16, 233 § 2, in the introduction of the Gaius commentary to the XII tables. Here a smaller passage was shortened.

Fritz Schulz, *Die Ulpianfragmente des Papyrus Rylands 474* (Sav. Z. 68, 1951 p. 1 ff).

The text cannot have been written by Ulpian and belongs to those *explanationes titulorum* in Ulpian's commentary of the edict which had long been recognized as not genuine. Our *explanation* cannot be ascribed to the Justinian compilers, it is to be assigned to a post-classical edition of Ulpian's commentary of the edict which did not render Ulpian's text in its purity.

Ernst Schönbauer, *Der juristisch literarische Papyrus der Wenger-Festschrift* (S. A. aus dem Anzeiger der phil.-hist. Kl. d. öst. Ak. d. Wiss. Jhg. 1951 N<sup>o</sup>. 26).