

Sandra L. Lippert

What's new in Demotic studies? : An overview of the publications 2010–2013

The Journal of Juristic Papyrology 43, 33-48

2013

Artykuł został opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez Muzeum Historii Polski w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej bazhum.muzhp.pl, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.

Sandra L. Lippert

**WHAT'S NEW IN DEMOTIC STUDIES?
AN OVERVIEW OF THE PUBLICATIONS 2010–2013**

WHEN I WAS ASKED TO GIVE an overview of the recent publications in demotic studies, specifically new editions of demotic texts of the Graeco-Roman period, I realised that demoticists have been quite industrious in the three years since the last International Congress of Papyrology at Geneva in 2010. According to my bibliographical researches, 110 articles and monographs dealing exclusively or to a considerable extent with demotic texts have been published since (see the list at the end of this article), and I am quite sure that some slipped through – I apologize to the authors. By presenting some of these publications, I would like to show the wide range of subjects on which Demotic texts can contribute to the study of Graeco-Roman Egypt and thus complement the information contained in Greek papyri.

Demotic literature had long been practically absent from the consciousness of egyptologists and papyrologists alike. Two quite recent anthologies, one in French, by Damien Agut-Labordère and Michel Chauveau (no. 1), the other in German, by Friedhelm Hoffmann and Joachim Quack (no. 35), now present the available sources, giving not only consecutive translations, but also all the necessary background information on the manuscripts. For those who prefer English, there is not an

anthology yet, but at least the quite extensive overview article by Kim Ryholt (no. 81) as well as a chapter by Friedhelm Hoffmann in the *Oxford Handbook of Roman Egypt* (no. 33). The last few years also saw a number of studies on the interference between Greek and Demotic literature (nos. 3, 75 and 93), and, thanks again to Kim Ryholt and the inexhaustible Copenhagen collection, the edition of fragments of two Demotic narratives that deal with more or less historical episodes of the Egyptian past that are also referred to by Herodotus and other Greek authors, namely the exploits of king Sesostris (no. 80) and events of the time of the Assyrian invasion (no. 82). The beginning chapter of one of the most important demotic literary texts, the philosophical teachings of Papyrus Insinger, have recently been (re-)discovered and edited by Jennifer Houser Wegner (no. 103), while additional remarks and proposals on the reconstruction of the text have been offered by Karl-Theodor Zauzich (no. 106).

Demotic sub-literary texts cover subjects that even today we would classify as ‘scientific’, such as medicine, law, mathematics and astronomy, as well as more arcane disciplines such as divination, dream and omen interpretation and magic. Together with mythological and cult-topographical lore, these were taught and practiced in the temples and thus formed part of the so-called ‘priestly knowledge’. Friedhelm Hoffmann and Joachim Quack made a number of demotic medical texts available in German translation in the appropriate volume of *Texte aus dem Umfeld des Alten Testaments* (no. 35), and Hoffmann also gave a preview on his re-edition of an important medical manuscript of the Roman period that mixes hieratic and demotic writings (nos. 32 and 34). A very long demotic text of priestly knowledge was partly published in the memorial volume to Jean Yoyotte by Françoise de Cenival who had once planned to edit this text together with Yoyotte: The edited portion corresponds to a list of localities in the Nile Delta with an enumeration of the corresponding divinities (no. 8). Joachim Quack treated us to another chapter of the still unpublished *Book of the Temple*, a lengthy manual on the prototypical Egyptian temple and its personnel: this time he unveiled the prescriptions concerning the cult of Osiris (no. 70). Astronomical and astrological texts furnish us with important chronological data, besides their interest for the history of science, especially the exchange of knowledge between Mesopotamia, Egypt and

Greece. Friedhelm Hoffmann is one of the few who regularly edit new texts of this type (no. 31). Joachim Quack published an article on divination as Egyptian ‘hard science’ (no. 69) and another on rituals for sending dreams (no. 73). Luigi Prada works on the edition of a demotic *oneirocriticon* and within this context also looked into the mechanisms of Egyptian dream interpretation (nos. 63–65). A funny little tract of omen literature has been edited by Karl-Theodor Zauzich: The *Book of the Gecko*, as reads its original title, explains what events are predicted by the extremely rare incident of a gecko dropping off the ceiling and onto different parts of a person’s body (no. 110). Two unfortunately very fragmentary texts published by Joachim Quack show the enormous and entirely unexpected scope of demotic sub-literary texts: One of them is an ethnographic description of various populations in Mesopotamia whose dress, customs, funerary rites and maladies were described (no. 72). The other text mixes biological information on how to rear cattle with religious aspects – perhaps it dealt with the care and breeding of sacred animals (no. 74).

Administrative and legal texts furnish undoubtedly the bulk of the demotic material. The last years saw not only editions of single new texts, but also a number of publications that treat larger corpora in context and thus achieve to extract important historical and sociological information. A good example of this is Brian Muhs’ monograph on taxes and tax collectors in Early Ptolemaic Thebes: He published over 150 demotic receipts, mainly in first edition, and included many more in his analysis focussing on the personnel involved, that is, the tax scribes responsible for the different types of taxes in Thebes in the 3rd century BCE (no. 60). A book worth having or at least worth reading for anyone working on Ptolemaic period administration is Andrew Monson’s edition of demotic land surveys, reports of crops and rents, census and tax registers and related material (no. 55). These at first view very un-appealing pieces of papyrus yield, under his painstaking examination, many details about agriculture and administration of land in the Fayum and adjacent areas in the late 3rd century BCE. Demotic legal texts also contribute largely to the overview articles on ‘Law’, ‘Law courts’ and ‘Inheritance’ I submitted to the University of Los Angeles *Online Encyclopedia of Egyptology* (nos. 44–46). Together with my colleague Maren Schentuleit I edited over 40 legal documents from

Roman period Soknopaiou Nesos (no. 42); I will come back to them later. Ursula Kaplony-Heckel gave a summary of the different types of agricultural records preserved mainly on ostraca from Pathyris in her contribution to the *Festschrift* volume for the New Egyptian Museum at Berlin (no. 40). She is also the editor of a very unusual and, in fact, hitherto unique text: a kind of journal on an ostracon giving the daily increase of the water-level of the Nile in July 221 BCE (no. 38). Two new papyri containing the rules and regulations of religious associations edited by Andrew Monson and his wife Carolin Arlt (nos. 6 and 56) add to the corpus assembled by de Cenival.¹ A completely new type of demotic legal document has been discovered by Willy Clarysse: his sculptors' contracts use the legal formula of acknowledgments of debt for the purpose of work contracts, obligating the subscriber to fulfil the assignment in a given time, possibly because these sculptors had been paid in advance (no. 15). Günter Vittmann's contribution to the *Festschrift Thissen* was the edition of a demotic double document about the lease of the brewery business in a Fayyumic village (no. 98). Since double documents are a Greek type of legal document that was adapted into demotic legal practice mainly for transactions that involve the administration in one way or the other, the brewery business in question probably fell under the monopolies controlled by the state.

A very important historical document came to light in 2000: The first complete copy of the hieroglyphic and demotic version of the synodal decree of 243 BCE, that is, the decree before the Rosettana, was found on a stela near Akhmim in middle Egypt; it was recently published by Yahya El-Masry, Hartwig Altenmüller and Heinz-Josef Thissen (no. 51). With the help of the Egyptian text, the much fragmented copies from other places that have been known for a while, were identified and put into the correct order; the most substantial remains of the Greek version, found more than 100 years ago at Elephantine and now housed in the Louvre, were thus published by François Kayser,² the corresponding Demotic

¹ Françoise DE CENIVAL, *Les associations religieuses en Égypte d'après les documents démotiques [= Bibliothèque d'études 46]*, Cairo 1972.

² F. KAYSER, 'Le décret sacerdotal de 243. Étude épigraphique et historique des fragments grecs conservés au Louvre (doc. 329)', [in:] Élisabeth DELANGE (ed.), *Les fouilles*

fragments by Thissen (no. 95). That even small corrections in reading demotic texts can change our view of Egyptian history is proved by two short articles: Through a re-examination of a passage on a demotic stela, Wendy Cheshire demolished the myth of a princess Berenice, younger sister of Ptolemy x Alexander, who was supposed to have married into a high-ranking family of Egyptian priests at Memphis (no. 11). The woman in question is in fact called Berenice, but there is no indication at all that she was in any way related to the Ptolemies. Karl-Theodor Zauzich's new reading of the demotic transcription of a Greek term that occurs in a number of demotic papyri (no. 108), turned the soldiers (*oī* ἔξω τάξεων 'outside of the ranks' postulated by Van't Dack, *APF* 19 (1969), pp. 155–165, into (*oī* ἐπὶ στάσεων 'base-commanders'; as befits 'extra-ordinary' soldiers, the ἔξω τάξεων are now down to merely four attestations, all in Greek texts.

The realisation that not only hieroglyphic and hieratic, but also demotic texts can contribute to our knowledge of Egyptian religion in the Graeco-Roman period is fortunately spreading among egyptologists. In his introductory monograph, Martin Stadler gives an overview and classification of the published texts (no. 91). Among the new religious sources is an ostracon with a 'divine decree', that is a sort of command to Osiris that the deceased may pass into the netherworld and live and regenerate there forever, published by Mark Smith (no. 85). This kind of text is usually to be found in hieroglyphs on wooden stela, the ostracon being the only example hitherto known in demotic script. Another text recently edited by Richard Jasnow and Mark Smith contributes to the hitherto scanty evidence for religious orgies in honor of Hathor, Bastet, Mut and similar goddesses, where the immoderate consumption of alcoholic beverages resulted in general promiscuity, and at the same time shows that these festivals might have been seen with mixed feelings by some Egyptians (no. 37).

I would like to round off this overview with what might be labelled as recent 'trends' in Demotic studies. Despite their notorious difficulty,

françaises à Éléphantine (Assouan 1906–1911), Archives Clermont Ganneau et Clédat 1 [= Mémoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres 46], Paris 2012, pp. 411–440.

publications of demotic letters (from the very beginning of the Ptolemaic period to the Roman period) turned out to be Demotists' preferred choice for Festschrift contributions and memorial volumes in the last three years (nos. 15, 27, 43, 49, 50, 57, 99). As usual, these letters remain somewhat enigmatic even when we can read them quite clearly – too much is not expressed because it was common knowledge between writer and addressee. The two 4th century BCE letters published by Cary Martin and Harry Smith (no. 49) are good examples of this problem: The writer of the first one demands quite insistently that the addressee hand over a copper lamp to a third person and exhorts him not to commit an error, but unfortunately neglects to tell us why this is such an important matter. The second relates a rather obscure story about a girl and her amulets that have been fashioned on the *dromos* of the temple of Imhotep. Business letters are usually a bit easier to understand: Willy Clarysse and Mark Depauw present two communications by the village scribe of Alexandrou Nenos in the Fayyum, one relating the fact that his village farmers have run off just before harvest, obviously to avoid paying taxes, the other about grain deliveries (no. 16). One of the latest known Demotic letters, datable to the late 2nd century CE, edited by Maren Schentuleit and myself, was written by a priest preparing a secret journey to Alexandria during which he was planning to take excerpts of the Prefect's court diary, most likely to be used for a plea in a lawsuit that his family was conducting. This long letter sports several Greek loan words in demotic writing, partly using a system known as 'un-etymological', that is a kind of rebus writing, employing Egyptian words that sounded like parts of the Greek word (no. 43).

Another subject matter that has recently come to the fore are mummy labels, with over 800 new or re-published specimens. Six stone mummy labels were published by François Gaudard and Janet Johnson (no. 30), while Sven Vleeming re-published about 650 mummy labels in his *Short Texts II*, a *Sammelbuch*-like re-edition of demotic inscriptions (no. 101). However, a whole new interest in these rather inconspicuous and on the first glance boring objects was sparked by Carolin Arlt's PhD thesis in which she not only edited over 150 new demotic and bilingual labels from the British Museum, but used this dry material for an amazing study on

mortality rates, naming practices and funerary customs in Roman period rural Upper Egypt (no. 4).

A noteworthy development of the last few years is the much increased interest in bilingual texts and find contexts. Willy Clarysse gave an overview of bilingual archives (no. 12), and Rachel Mairs achieved a very good introduction into the diversity of what is covered by the term in her article on bilingual tagging (no. 47): Although occasionally ‘bilingual’ might denote texts in which exactly the same information is given in two different languages, for example in the sacerdotal decrees of the Ptolemaic period, in most cases the different language sections of bilingual texts present partly different or even complementary information. As examples, Mairs presented two bilingual documents in which insertions (or ‘tagging’, as she calls it) in another script and language are used to facilitate access – interestingly, one of these examples is mainly in Demotic, with insertions in Greek, while the other is mainly in Greek, with insertions in demotic. The origin of these bilingual accounts are obviously mixed administrations that worked partly in Greek, partly in demotic. The strangest specimens of bilingual texts hitherto known are the Narmouthis ostraca, of which a new batch was published by Edda Bresciani and her collaborators (no. 7): In this obviously fully bilingual environment scribes switch effortlessly from demotic to Greek and back in order to write personal names, but also other Greek words, including verbs that are prefixed with a demotic auxiliary, and that in the middle of the sentence! I already mentioned the legal documents from Roman period Soknopaiou Nesos (no. 42): Most of these sales, mortgages, divisions and marriage documents are bilingual, that is, they containing demotic and Greek text parts. The reason is that the Roman administration at the end of the 1st century BCE introduced a new system of authentication that required the addition of Greek statements of both parties over and above the Greek résumé and the note of registration. The bilingual documents from Sokopaiou Nesos are practically the latest demotic notary documents: In the last quarter of the 1st century CE, they were entirely superseded by Greek legal documents. Our analysis of the demotic and Greek legal formula has contributed to the understanding of the development of the bilingual documents and showed at the same time that the Egyptian

and Greek parts are not simply translations of each other but follow their own special phraseology.

Last not least, demotists have also been working ‘in the field’, presenting and publishing graffiti and dipinti (nos. 18, 21, 25, 28, 52, 53, 77, 97) as well as ostraca and papyri from ongoing excavations (nos. 2, 5, 7, 88).

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS OF DEMOTIC TEXTS
AND DEMOTIST STUDIES SINCE 2010
(without guaranty of completeness)

1. D. AGUT-LABORDÈRE & M. CHAUVEAU, *Héros, magiciens et sages oubliés de l’Égypte ancienne: une anthologie de la littérature en égyptien démotique*, Paris 2011.
2. Sara NABIL HAFIZ AHMED, ‘Four first century AD. Demotic ostraca from Medinet Habu’, *BSAC* 49 (2010), pp. 73–84.
3. A. ALMÁSY, ‘The Greek subliterary texts and the Demotic literature’, [in:] Eszter BECHTOLD, A. GULYÁS & Andrea HASZNOS (eds.), *From Illahun to Djeme: papers presented in honour of Ulrich Luft [= BAR International Series 2311]*, Oxford 2011, pp. 1–6.
4. Carolin ARLT, *Deine Seele möge leben für immer und ewig: die demotischen Mumienschilder im British Museum [= Studia Demotica 10]*, Leuven 2011.
5. EADEM, ‘The name ostraca from Soknopaiou Nesos. Office lottery or ostracism in the Fayyum?’, [in:] EADEM & M. STADLER (eds.), *Das Fayyüm in Hellenismus und Kaiserzeit. Fallstudien zu multikulturellem Leben in der Antike*, Wiesbaden 2013, pp. 7–17.
6. Carolin ARLT & A. MONSON, ‘Rules of an Egyptian religious association from the early second century BCE’, [in:] H. KNUF, C. LEITZ, & D. VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense: Studien zum pharaonischen, griechisch-römischen und spätantiken Ägypten zu Ehren von Heinz-Josef Thissen [= Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 194]*, Leuven 2010, pp. 113–122.
7. Edda BRESCIANI, Sara GIANNOTTI & A. MENCHETTI, ‘Ostraka demotici e bilingui di Narmuthis (II): due pastophoria a Medinet Madi tra secondo e terzo secolo d.C.’, *EVO* 33 (2010), pp. 55–70.
8. Françoise DE CENIVAL & J. YOYOTTE, ‘Le papyrus démotique CG 31169 du musée du Caire’, [in:] Christiane ZIVIE-COCHE & I. GUERMEUR (eds.), *Parcourir l’éternité. Hommages à Jean Yoyotte 1, [= Bibliothèque de l’École des Hautes Études, Sciences Religieuses 156; série Histoire et prosopographie 8]*, Turnhout 2012, pp. 239–276.
9. M. CHAUVEAU, ‘Un songe oedipien: O. Ermitage 1129 recto’, [in:] KNUF,

- LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 141–142.
10. M. MICHEL, ‘Au fil des sagesses démotiques’, [in:] ZIVIE-COCHE & GUERMEUR (eds.), *Parcourir l'éternité* (cit. no. 8), pp. 305–311.
 11. Wendy CHESHIRE, ‘The phantom sister of Ptolemy Alexander’, *Enchoria* 32 (2010–2011), pp. 121–124.
 12. W. CLARYSSE, ‘Bilingual papyrological archives’, [in:] Arietta PAPACONSTANTINOU (ed.), *The Multilingual Experience in Egypt, from the Ptolemies to the Abbasids*, Farnham 2010, pp. 47–72.
 13. IDEM, ‘Egyptian Temples and Priests: Graeco-Roman’, [in:] A. B. LLOYD (ed.), *A Companion to Ancient Egypt* 1, Malden, Mass. 2010, pp. 274–290.
 14. IDEM, ‘Determinatives in Greek loan-words and proper names’, [in:] S. P. VLEEMING (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography: Acts of an International Colloquium Held in Trier, 8 November 2010 [= Studia Demotica* 11], Leuven 2013, pp. 1–24.
 15. W. CLARYSSE & U. LUFT, ‘Demotic contracts between sculptors and the Bastet temple at Tholthis’ [in:] ZIVIE-COCHE & GUERMEUR (eds.), *Parcourir l'éternité* (cit. no. 8), pp. 323–335.
 16. W. CLARYSSE & M. DEPAUW, ‘Two demotic letters from a village scribe of Alexandrou Nesos’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ, & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 147–152.
 17. E. CRUZ-URIBE, ‘The Death of Demotic Redux. Pilgrimage, Nubia and the Preservation of Egyptian Culture’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 499–506.
 18. IDEM, ‘Hieroglyphic and demotic texts (180–296)’, [in:] J. H. F. DIJKSTRA, *Syene 1. The Figural and Textual Graffiti from the Temple of Isis at Aswan [= Beiträge zur ägyptischen Bauforschung und Altertumskunde]*, Mainz 2012, pp. 111–152.
 19. D. DEVAUCHELLE & Ghislaine WIDMER, ‘Un peu de sagesse...: sentences sur ostraca démotiques’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ, & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 167–172.
 20. IIDEM, ‘Des stèles et des femmes dans le Delta oriental: à propos de quelques stèles démotiques funéraires’, [in:] P. BUZI, D. PICCHI & M. ZECCHI (eds.), *Aegyptiaca et Coptica: studi in onore di Sergio Pernigotti*, Oxford 2011, pp. 155–167.
 21. Christina DÍ CERBO & R. JASNOW, ‘Recent documentation of Medinet Habu graffiti by the Epigraphic Survey’, [in:] P. F. DORMAN & Betsy M. BRYAN (eds.), *Perspectives on Ptolemaic Thebes: Papers from the Theban Workshop 2006 [= SAOC* 65], Chicago 2011, pp. 35–51.
 22. J. DIELEMAN, ‘What's in a sign? Translating filiation in the demotic magical papyri’, [in:] PAPACONSTANTINOU (ed.), *The Multilingual Experience in Egypt* (cit. no. 12), pp. 127–152.

23. K. DONKER VAN HEEL, *Djekhy & Son. Doing Business in Ancient Egypt*, Cairo – New York 2012.
24. M. EBEID, ‘A Ptolemaic Demotic account of bread on an ostraca (O. Al-Ashmunein magazine Inv. No. 1130)’, *Annales du Service des Antiquités de l’Égypte* 84 (2010), pp. 157–163.
25. IDEM, ‘N-tr.t (*m-dr.t*) in the Demotic inscriptions on the ibis coffins and sarcophagi from the galleries of the Tuna el-Gebel necropolis’, [in:] Z. A. HAWASS, K. A. DAOUD & R. B. HUSSEIN (eds.), *Scribe of Justice: Egyptological Studies in Honour of Shafik Allam [= Supplément aux ASAÉ 42]*, Cairo 2011, pp. 127–143.
26. IDEM, ‘A clay bowl with Demotic inscriptions from Tuna al-Gebel’, [in:] OLA EL-AGUIZY & M. SHERIF ALI (eds.), *Echoes of Eternity: Studies Presented to Gaballa Aly Gaballa [= Philippika 35]*, Wiesbaden 2010, pp. 163–174.
27. IDEM, ‘A new Demotic private letter from Hermopolis’, *JEA* 98 (2012), pp. 211–223.
28. F. FÖRSTER, ‘Gottes Ohr in der Wüste: ein demotisch und hieroglyphisch beschrifteter Steinblock von einem sogenannten *hilltop site* südlich der Oase Dachla’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 69–78.
29. F. GAUDARD, ‘Pap. Berlin P. 8278 and its fragments: Testimony of an Osirian Khoiak festival celebration during the Ptolemaic period’, [in:] VERENA LEPPER (ed.), *Forschung in der Papyrussammlung. Eine Festgabe für das Neue Museum [= Ägyptische und Orientalische Papyri und Handschriften des Ägyptischen Museums und Papyrussammlung Berlin 1]*, Berlin 2012, pp. 269–286.
30. F. GAUDARD & JANET H. JOHNSON, ‘Six stone mummy labels in the Oriental Institute Museum’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 193–209.
31. F. HOFFMANN, ‘Astronomische und astrologische Kleinigkeiten VI: Neumonddaten aus dem Jahre 184/185 n. Chr.’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 233–236.
32. IDEM, ‘Zur Neuedition des hieratisch-demotischen Papyrus Wien D 6257 aus römischer Zeit’, [in:] ANNETTE IMHAUSEN & TANJA POMMERENING (eds.), *Writings of Early Scholars in the Ancient Near East, Egypt and Greece: Translating Ancient Scientific Texts [= Beiträge zur Altertumskunde 286]*, Berlin – New York 2010, pp. 201–218.
33. IDEM, ‘Hieratic and demotic literature’, [in:] CHRISTINA RIGGS (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Roman Egypt*, Oxford 2012, pp. 543–562.
34. IDEM, ‘Die Verwendung hieratischer Zeichen in demotischen medizinischen Texten’, [in:] VLEEMING (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography* (cit. no. 16), pp. 25–39.
35. F. HOFFMANN & J. F. QUACK, ‘4. Demotische Texte zur Heilkunde’, [in:]

- B. JANOWSKI & D. SCHWEMER (eds.), *Texte aus der Umwelt des Alten Testaments. NF 5. Texte zur Heilkunde*, Gütersloh 2010, pp. 298–316.
36. R. JASNOW, ‘On Sothis and the so-called Clepsydra (?) in the *Book of Thoth* (Bo2, 3/16–4/11)’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 237–243.
37. R. JASNOW & M. SMITH, “As for those who have called me evil, Mut will call them evil”: orgiastic cultic behavior and its critics in ancient Egypt (PSI Inv. [provv.] D 114a + PSI Inv. 3056 verso), *Enchoria* 32 (2010–2011), pp. 9–53.
38. Ursula KAPLONY-HECKEL, ‘Das Wasser-Ellen-Tagebuch auf dem Ostrakon Strasbourg D 283’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ, & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 257–260.
39. EADEM, ‘Theben-Ost 111, dritter Teil: Zehn *inj*-Tempel-Quittungen (Nr. 47–56), eine Brief-Quittung über *smw* und *hw*-*hwatj* (Nr. 57), zwei Abkommen über Acker-Pacht (Nr. 58, 58A), sechs (staatliche) Acker-Amt-Quittungen (Nr. 59–64), die drei mir bekannten (staatlichen) *i.ir.ir-iw*-Quittungen (Nr. 65–67), das Akten-Fragment aus der Öl-Mühle (des Tempels?) (Nr. 68) und Indices’, *ZÄS* 137 (2010), pp. 127–144.
40. EADEM, ‘Wüst und leer. Die Acker-Akten aus Gebelein im Überblick’, [in:] LEPPER (ed.), *Forschung* (cit. no. 29), pp. 287–308.
41. Alexandra von LIEVEN, ‘Translating the fundamentals of the course of the stars’, [in:] IMHAUSEN & POMMERENING (eds.), *Writings of Early Scholars* (cit. no. 32), pp. 139–150.
42. Sandra L. LIPPERT & Maren SCHENTULEIT, *Urkunden [= Demotische Dokumente aus Dime 3]*, Wiesbaden 2010.
43. EADEM, ‘Stoetis in geheimer Mission: der Brief pBerlin P 8092’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 357–381.
44. Sandra L. LIPPERT, ‘Law (definitions and codification)’, [in:] Elizabeth FROOD & Willeke WENDRICH (eds.), *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, Los Angeles 2012–2013 <<http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002bzzgj>>
45. EADEM, ‘Law courts’, [in:] *Encyclopedia of Egyptology* (cit. n. 44) <<http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002djg21>>
46. EADEM, ‘Inheritance’, [in:] *Encyclopedia of Egyptology* (cit. n. 44) <<http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002hgwi1>>
47. Rachel MAIRS, ‘Bilingual “tagging” of financial accounts in Demotic and Greek’, *ZÄS* 139.1 (2012), pp. 38–45.
48. J. C. MARTIN, ‘Memphite palaeography: some observations on texts from the Ptolemaic Period’, [in:] VLEEMING (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography* (cit. no. 16), pp. 41–62.
49. J. C. MARTIN & H. S. SMITH, ‘Demotic letters from the Sacred Animal

- Necropolis, North Saqqara', [in:] KNUF, LEITZ, & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 85–97.
50. J. C. MARTIN, H. S. SMITH & Sue DAVIES, 'Two Demotic letters from the Sacred Animal Necropolis, North Saqqara', [in:] HAWASS, DAOUD & HUSSEIN (eds.), *Scribe of Justice* (cit. no. 25), pp. 273–291.
 51. Y. EL-MASRY, H. ALTMÜLLER, & H.-J. THISSEN, *Das Synodaldekret von Alexandria aus dem Jahre 243 v. Chr.* [= *Studien zur altägyptischen Kultur*, Bh. II], Hamburg 2012.
 52. A. MENCHETTI & R. PINTAUDI, 'L'orologio solare di Medinet Madi', *CdÉ* 85 (2010), pp. 269–277.
 53. J. MOJE, 'Die Entwicklung der bilinguen und monolinguen demotischen Graffiti im Ägypten des ersten Jahrtausends v. Chr.', [in:] L. BAREŠ, F. COPPENS & Květa SMOLÁRIKOVÁ (eds.), *Egypt in Transition: Social and Religious Development of Egypt in the First millennium BCE. Proceedings of an International Conference, Prague, September 1–4, 2009*, Prague 2010, pp. 286–304.
 54. IDEM, 'Weitere demotische und bilingue Stelen aus Tell Nebesheh und aus Kom el-Hisn', *JEA* 97 (2011), pp. 167–194.
 55. A. MONSON, *Agriculture and Taxation in Early Ptolemaic Egypt: Demotic Land Surveys and Accounts (P. Agri)* [= *Papyrologische Texte und Abhandlungen* 46], Bonn 2012.
 56. IDEM, 'Rules of an association of Soknebtunis', [in:] R. AST, Hélène CUVIGNY, T. M. HICKEY & Julia LOUGOVAYA (eds.), *Papyrological Texts in Honor of Roger S. Bagnall* [= *ASP* 53], Durham, NC 2013, pp. 209–214.
 57. B. MUHS, 'Two Demotic letters from the archive of Herianoupis, from the Curzon collection now in the British Museum', [in:] KNUF, LEITZ, & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 397–404.
 58. IDEM, 'A Demotic donation contract from early Ptolemaic Thebes (P. Louvre N. 3263)', [in:] Z. HAWASS & Jennifer Houser WEGNER (eds.), *Millions of Jubilees: Studies in Honor of David P. Silverman* 1 [= *Supplément aux ASAE* 39.1 (2010)], pp. 439–455.
 59. IDEM, 'P. Louvre N. 3263 Revisited', *Enchoria* 32 (2010–2011), pp. 125–126.
 60. IDEM, *Receipts, Scribes, and Collectors in Early Ptolemaic Thebes (O. Taxes 2)* [= *Studia Demotica* 8], Leuven 2011.
 61. IDEM, 'Demotic orthography in B. H. Stricker's Notebooks', [in:] VLEEMING (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography* (cit. no 16), pp. 63–68.
 62. S. PASEK, *Demotische und griechische Urkunden aus Hawara in Übersetzung* [= *Mathemata demotika* 1], Aachen 2012.
 63. L. PRADA, 'Classifying dreams, classifying the world: ancient Egyptian oneiro-mancy and Demotic dream books', [in:] H. ABD EL GAWAD, Nathalie ANDREWS, Maria CORREAS-AMADOR, Veronica TAMORRI & J. TAYLOR (eds.), *Current Research in Egyptology 2011: Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Sym-*

- posium which Took Place at Durham University, UK, March 2011*, Oxford 2012, pp. 167–177.
64. IDEM, ‘Papyrus Berlin P. 8769: A new look at the text and the reconstruction of a lost Demotic dream book’, [in:] LEPPER, *Forschung* (cit. no. 29), pp. 309–328.
 65. IDEM, ‘Dreams, bilingualism, and oneiromancy in Ptolemaic Egypt: remarks on a recent study’, *ZPE* 184 (2013), pp. 85–101.
 66. IDEM, ‘Orthographic differentiations in verbal forms and converters: an early Demotic case study (P. Ryl. 5)’, [in:] VLEEMING (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography* (cit. no 16), pp. 69–97.
 67. J. F. QUACK, ‘Inhomogenität von ägyptischer Sprache und Schrift in Texten aus dem späten Ägypten’, [in:] Katja LEMBKE, Martina MINAS-NERPEL & S. PFEIFFER (eds.), *Tradition and Transformation. Egypt under Roman Rule. Proceedings of the International Conference, Hildesheim, Roemer- and Pelizaeus-Museum, 3–6 July 2008 [= Culture and History of the Ancient Near East 41]*, Leiden – Boston 2010, pp. 313–341.
 68. IDEM ‘Difficult hieroglyphs and unreadable Demotic? How the ancient Egyptians dealt with the complexity of their script’, [in:] A. DE VOOGT & I. L. FINKEL (eds.), *The Idea of Writing. Play and Complexity*, Leiden – Boston 2010, pp. 235–251.
 69. IDEM, ‘Präzision in der Prognose oder Divination als Wissenschaft’, [in:] IMHAUSEN & POMMERENING (eds.), *Writings of Early Scholars* (cit. no 32), pp. 69–91.
 70. IDEM, ‘Les normes pour le culte d’Osiris. Les indications du Manuel du Temple sur les lieux et les prêtres osiriens’, [in:] L. COULON (ed.), *Le culte d’Osiris au 1^{er} millénaire av. J.-C.: découvertes et travaux récents. Actes de la table ronde internationale tenue à Lyon, Maison de l’Orient et de la Méditerranée (Université Lumière-Lyon 2) les 8 et 9 juillet 2005 [= Bibliothèque d’Études 153]*, Cairo 2010, pp. 23–30.
 71. IDEM, ‘Zur Lesung der demotischen Gruppe für “links”’, *Enchoria* 32 (2010–2011), pp. 73–80.
 72. IDEM, ‘Ein Fragment eines demotischen ethnographischen Textes (PSI Ins. D 88)’, *Enchoria* 32 (2010–2011), pp. 81–85.
 73. IDEM, ‘Remarks on Egyptian rituals of dream-sending’, [in:] P. KOUZOULIS (ed.), *Ancient Egyptian Demonology. Studies on the Boundaries between the Demonic and the Divine in Egyptian Magic [= OLA 175]*, Leuven 2011, pp. 129–150.
 74. IDEM, ‘Papyrus Berlin P. 23871: Reste eines semidemotischen Traktats über Tierzucht?’, [in:] LEPPER (ed.), *Forschung* (cit. no. 29), pp. 329–335.
 75. IDEM, ‘Quelques apports récents des études démotiques à la comprehension du livre II d’Hérodote’, [in:] L. COULON, Pascale GIOVANNELLI-JOUANNA & Flore KIMMEL-CLAUZET (eds.), *Hérodote et l’Égypte: regards croisés sur le livre II de l’Enquête d’Hérodote. Actes de la journée d’étude organisée à la Maison de l’Orient et de*

- la Méditerranée, Lyon, le 10 mai 2010* [= *Collection de la Maison de l'Orient et de la Méditerranée* 51. *Série littéraire et philosophique* 18], Lyon 2013, pp. 63–88.
76. IDEM, ‘Zu einigen demotischen Gruppen umstrittener Lesung oder problematischer Ableitung’, [in:] VLEEMING (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography* (cit. no. 16), pp. 99–116.
 77. J. D. RAY, *Texts from the Baboon and Falcon Galleries: Demotic, Hieroglyphic and Greek Inscriptions from the Sacred Animal Necropolis, North Saqqara* [= *Texts from Excavations* 15], London 2011.
 78. T. S. RICHTER, ‘O. BM EA 20300: in search of the latest dated Demotic ostracon’, [in:] P. BAGNALL (cit. no. 56), pp. 285–290.
 79. R. RITNER, ‘Setna’s spell of taking security (Setna 1, co. IV/31–34)’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 425–428.
 80. K. RYHOLT, ‘A Sesosiris story in Demotic Egyptian and demotic literary exercises (O. Leipzig UB 2217)’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 429–437.
 81. IDEM, ‘Late period literature’, [in:] LLOYD, (ed.), *A Companion to Ancient Egypt* II (cit. no. 13), pp. 709–731.
 82. IDEM, ‘A Demotic narrative in Berlin and Brooklyn concerning the Assyrian invasion of Egypt’, [in:] LEPPER (ed.), *Forschung* (cit. no. 29), pp. 337–353.
 83. Maren SCHENTULEIT, ‘Tradition und Transformation – Einblicke in die Verwaltung des römischen Ägypten nach den demotischen Urkunden’, LEMBKE, MINAS-NERPEL & PFEIFFER (eds.), *Tradition and Transformation* (cit. no. 67), pp. 357–383.
 84. EADEM, ‘Korrekturen zur ptolemäerzeitlichen Immobilienverkaufsurkunde pEES inv. D91’, *Enchoria* 32 (2010–2011), pp. 127–130.
 85. M. SMITH, ‘A divine decree for the deceased (O. Strasbourg D. 132 + 133 + 134)’, [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 439–445.
 86. IDEM, ‘Orthographies of Middle Egyptian verbal forms in Demotic, with particular reference to the *sdm.n=f*’, [in:] VLEEMING (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography* (cit. no. 16), pp. 117–126.
 87. M. A. STADLER, ‘Interpreting the architecture of the temenos: demotic papyri and the cult of Soknopaiou Nesos’, [in:] M. CAPASSO & Paola DAVOLI (eds.), *Soknopaiou Nesos Project 1 (2003–2009)*, Pisa 2012, pp. 379–386.
 88. IDEM, ‘Demotica aus Dime: ein Überblick über die in Dime während der Kampagnen 2001–2009 gefundenen demotischen Texte’, [in:] CAPASSO & DAVOLI (eds.), *Soknopaiou Nesos Project 1* (cit. no. 87), pp. 249–268.
 89. IDEM, ‘Egyptian funerary religion. The final phase of a time-honoured tradition’, [in:] RIGGS (ed.), *Handbook of Roman Egypt* (cit. no. 33), pp. 383–397.
 90. IDEM, ‘Egyptian cult. The evidence from the temple scriptoria and Christian

- hagiographies', [in:] RIGGS (ed.), *Handbook of Roman Egypt* (cit. no. 33), pp. 457–473.
91. IDEM, *Einführung in die ägyptische Religion ptolemäisch-römischer Zeit nach den demotischen religiösen Texten* [= *Einführungen und Quellentexte zur Ägyptologie* 7], Münster 2012.
92. J. W. TAIT, "He did its like": Some uses of repetition in Demotic narrative fiction', [in:] BECHTOLD, GULYÁS & HASZNOS (eds.), *From Illabun to Djeme* (cit. no. 3), pp. 279–285.
93. IDEM, 'The reception of Demotic narrative', [in:] R. ENMARCH & Verena M. LEPPER (eds.), *Ancient Egyptian Literature: Theory and Practice* [= *Proceedings of the British Academy* 188], Oxford 2013, pp. 251–260.
94. IDEM, 'Uniconsonantal signs and patterns of change: exploring the orthography of the Demotic script', [in:] VLEEMING (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography* (cit. no. 16), pp. 127–143.
95. H.-J. THISSEN, 'Le décret sacerdotal de 243. Die demotischen Fragmente', [in:] DELANGE (ed.), *Les fouilles françaises d'Éléphantine* (cit. n. 2), pp. 441–446.
96. S. VINSON, 'Ten notes on the First Tale of Setne Khaemwas', [in:] KNUF, LEITZ & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 447–470.
97. IDEM, 'A bi-graphic (Greek and Demotic) graffito from the tomb of Ramses IV in the Valley of the Kings (KV2)', *Enchoria* 32 (2010–2011), pp. 131–134.
98. G. VITTMANN, 'Eine Doppelurkunde aus dem Fayum über Pacht von 'Bierarbeit' (*P. Lüddckens* 13)', [in:] KNUF, LEITZ, & VON RECKLINGHAUSEN (eds.), *Honi soit qui mal y pense* (cit. no. 6), pp. 471–481.
99. IDEM, 'Two early Demotic letters', [in:] ZIVIE-COCHE & GUERMEUR (eds.), *Parcourir l'éternité* (cit. no. 8), pp. 1075–1095.
100. S. P. VLEEMING, *The Berichtigungsliste of Demotic Documents in AD 2007–2009*, Brauneberg 2010.
101. IDEM, *Demotic and Greek-Demotic Mummy Labels and Other Short Texts Gathered from Many Publications (Short Texts II 278–1200)* [= *Studia Demotica* 9], Leuven 2011.
102. IDEM, 'Notes on Demotic orthography', [in:] IDEM (ed.), *Aspects of Demotic Orthography* (cit. no. 16), pp. 145–161.
103. Jennifer WEGNER HOUSER, 'A fragmentary Demotic cosmology in the Penn Museum', [in:] HAWASS & Jennifer WEGNER HOUSER, (eds.), *Millions of Jubilees* (cit. no. 53), pp. 337–350.
104. Ghislaine WIDMER, 'La stèle de Paësis (Louvre E 25983) et quelques formes d'Osiris dans le Fayoum aux époques ptolémaïque et romaine', [in:] COULON (ed.), *Le culte d'Osiris* (cit. no. 70), pp. 63–97.
105. A. WINKLER, 'Collecting income at Kerkesoucha Orous: New light on p.Cairo II 30625', *JEA* 96 (2010), pp. 161–174.

106. K.-Th. ZAUZICH, ‘Die Werke der Götter: ein Nachtrag zu P. Philadelphia E 16335’, *Enchoria* 32 (2010/2011), pp. 86–100.
107. IDEM, ‘Anchscheschonqi 20, 7–8’, *Enchoria* 32 (2010/2011), pp. 135–138.
108. IDEM, ‘Gegen die Soldaten ἔξω τάξεων’, *Enchoria* 32 (2010/2011), pp. 139–141.
109. IDEM, ‘Eine ptolemäische Abrechnung über innerägyptischen Finanzausgleich (P. Fitzhugh D.4 + P. Wångstedt 7)’, [in:] BECHTOLD, GULYÁS & HASZNOS (eds.), *From Illabun to Djeme* (cit. no. 3), pp. 355–361.
110. IDEM, ‘Das demotische *Buch des Geckos* und die Palmomantik des Melampus’, [in:] LEPPER (ed.), *Forschung* (cit. no. 29), Berlin 2012, pp. 355–373.

Sandra L. Lippert

UMR «Archéologie des sociétés méditerranéennes»
CNRS
Université Paul-Valéry
Route de Mende
34199 CEDEX 5 Montpellier
FRANCE
e-mail: *sandra.lippert@cnrs.fr*