

Krzysztof T. Witczak

A third fragment of Ticida?

Collectanea Philologica 4, 149-151

2002

Artykuł został opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez Muzeum Historii Polski w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej bazhum.muzhp.pl, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.

Krzysztof Tomasz WITCZAK
(Łódź, Poland)

A THIRD FRAGMENT OF TICIDA?

Two recent editors of the fragments of Latin verse, Edward Courtney¹ and Jürgen Blänsdorf², cite only two fragments attributed to the Roman poet Ticida (or Ticidas). The former fragment is the integral part of a wedding-song (*hymenaeus*), the latter represents perhaps a sequence taken from an epigram on the poem *Lydia* by P. Valerius Cato.

Fr. 1

Prisc. *GLK* II 189: 'sole' quoque †attiquidas in hymenaeo:
felix lectule talibus
sole amoribus.

App. crit.: attuidas **G** : Catti quidas **L** : atticidas **BDH** : Atticidas historicus **Rr** : Attidicas [vel Atticidas] **A** : Atticidas **KVt** : Ticidas *Weichert et L. Mueller*: C. Ticidas 'sive quod aliud fuit huic viro praenomen' *Casaubonus* : A. Ticidas *Bardon* : At. Ticidas *ego* : antiquitas vel antiqui priores *edd.* : antiqui. Ticidas *Hertz, Courtney et Blänsdorf*. V. 2: sole <conscie> *Housman* : sole <testis> *Pighi* : sole <arbiter> *Scivoletto*.

The spelling of the manuscripts †*attiquidas* or †*tatticidas* may be securely explained as a scribal depravation which arose by an uncorrect joining of the abbreviated name *At.* (= Attius)³ with the poet's by-name *Ticidas*. By analogy, A. Gellius is also miscalled Agellius (sic!) in most Priscianian manuscripts.

¹ E. Courtney, *The Fragmentary Latin Poets*, Oxford 1993, p. 228–229.

² *Fragmata Poetarum Latinorum epicorum et lyricorum praeter Ennum et Lucilium*, post W. Morel novis curis adhibitis edidit Carolus Buechner, editionem tertiam auctam curavit J. Blänsdorff, Stuttgardiae et Lipsiae 1995, p. 225–226 (henceforth: *FPL*).

³ Attius (or Attus) is a „sabinischer Vorname” and the common equivalent of the Roman name Appius (abbreviated App.), cf. E. Klebs, *Appius*, [in:] Paulys Realencyclopädie der classischen Altertumswissenschaft, ed. G. Wissowa, vol. 2, Stuttgart 1896, col. 2256 and 2259. Also the cognomen Ticidas may be of Sabine origin. See especially K. T. Witczak, *The praenomen of Ticida*, „Eikasmós. Quaderni Bolognesi di Filologia Classica” 1997, 7, p. 191–193.

Fr. 2

Suet. *De gramm.* 11: [P. Valerius Cato] scripsit praeter grammaticos libellos etiam poemata, ex quibus praecipue probantur Lydia et Diana. Lydiae Ticida meminit:

Lydia doctorum maxima cura liber.

App. crit.: *cura om.* I : *labor G²I.*

I would like to add a third possible fragment by Ticida to the two above-mentioned items. This is quoted by J. Blänsdorf among the anonymous poetical remains of the late Roman epoch (sic!)⁴.

Fr. 3

Arnob. *Adv. nat.* 5, 21: illum citabimus Tarentinum notumque senarium quem †antiquitas canit dicens:

Taurus draconem genuit et taurum draco.

App. crit.: *antiquitas codd.* : At. *Ticidas ego.*

If Blänsdorf's attribution to the late Roman epoch is correct, then the use of the term †*antiquitas* by Arnobius (IV century AD) should find an essential justification⁵. Of course, the word *antiquitas* as such is not impossible in this literary context, though we ought to expect here rather a concrete name of the Roman poet (with regard to an animate and 'personal' use of the verbal expression *canit dicens*).

In my opinion, the attested reading of the Arnobius' manuscripts reminds us of the corrupt forms †*attiquidas* or †*atticidas* (*vel sim.*) in Priscian (see above, fr. 1) and therefore it may represent an improving „correction” introduced by a „thoughtful” copist in the Middle Ages⁶. Such an improvement is highly probable, as the analogical Priscian's sequence was amended to *antiquitas* or *antiqui* or even *antiqui. Ticidas* as late as in the modern times. Thus, I am inclined to believe that both Arnobius and Priscian refer to the same poet, namely Attius Caecilius Ticidas⁷.

⁴ *FPL*, p. 449 (fr. 81) s. v. *Incertorum versus. Serioris aetatis versus.*

⁵ Arnobius' *antiquitas* may hardly refer to the late Roman epoch.

⁶ Such a correction would be understood in the case of a copist, who originated from the Iberian Peninsula, as the original form (e.g. *atticidas* or *attiquidas*) might agree well with the development of Latin in this region (Lat. *antiquitas, -tatis* > Spanish *antigüedad*, cf. Italian *antichità*).

⁷ C. Valerius Catullus wrote a poetical letter (poem XXXV) to a Caecilius from Novum Comum (now Como). He mentioned not only an unfinished Caecilius' epyllion on Cybele,

The fragment, discussed here, is an adaptation of a Hellenistic bucolic verse (Clem. Alex. *Protr.* 2, 16, 3): *ταῦρος δράκοντος καὶ πατὴρ ταύρου δράκων*. The neoteric poetry was written in the Hellenistic vein, combining eroticism with learning. *Poetae novi* translated willingly the Alexandrian poems and were called *cantores Euphorionis* by M. Tullius Cicero. Thus Ticidas as one of the neoteric writers might be the author of the above poetic adaptation.

the Great Mother, but also Caecilius' „girl more learned than Sappho's Muse” (c. XXXV, v. 16–17: *Sapphica puella/Musae doctior*). In my opinion, it refers most probably to Ticida and his beloved fiancée, Metella-Perilla (see Apuleius *Apol.* 10 and Ovid, *Trist.* II 433–438), who is perhaps announced by name (I prefer to change the attested word [v. 14] *misellae* [so codd.] into *Metellae*). See K. T. Witczak, *Poeta-neoteryk Ticidas i jego twórczość poetycka*, „Meander” 1998, 53, No. 6, p. 591–601; A. Pawłowska, *Miłość „literacka” w świetle XXXV pieśni Gajusza Waleriusza Katullusa*, [in:] A. Witczak, A. Ługiewicz [eds.], *Miłość w literaturze i kulturze starożytnej Grecji i Rzymu*, Gdańsk 2001, p. 143–149.