Taubenschlag, Rafał

Customary law and custom in the papyri

The Journal of Juristic Papyrology 1, 41-54

1946

Artykuł został zdigitalizowany i opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez **Muzeum Historii Polski** w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej **bazhum.muzhp.pl**, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.



CUSTOMARY LAW AND CUSTOM IN THE PAPYRI

Custom¹ as a source of law is already expressly acknowledged in a decree of the third cent. B.C., in BGU. 1185 II, 28ff. The King issued an order that nobody had to justify the κράτησιs² of temple-goods by means of documents, if the κράτησις was granted by the King's decision: [κατ]à τά τε τείμια³ καὶ τοὺς ἐθισμούς. Similarly in BGU. 1127,⁴ a contract of 18 B. C., a seller who received only a part of the price and conveyed possession to the buyer, stated that he would not make us of (v. 22) νόμος η είθισμός regarding such transactions. And in a contract of the same period, BGU. 1118 (25/4 and 24/3 B.C.),⁵ we read that the buyer would pay (v. 20ff.) τάξονται τὰ παρ' αὐτ[ŵ]ν . . [. . . . κατὰ τὰ] προστάγματα καὶ διαγράμματα καὶ τοὺς ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐθ[ισμούς]. Significant is SB. 7696 (250 A.D.)⁶ where in a trial held before the prefect of Egypt, Appius Sabinus, a rhetor points out that the $\epsilon\theta_{0s}$ may derogate a vóµos.

The $\epsilon\theta_{00}$ plays an important part in all fields of law, private, penal and administrative law as well.

I. As we all know private law includes the law governing domestic relations: marriage, guardianship, *patria potestas*, law of inheritance, law of property and obligations. Now let us go through all these domains.

With regard to the law governing marital life, a marriage contract from Alexandria, BGU. 1050 = M. Chr. 286 = P. Meyer, Jur. Pap. N° 19 deserves consideration.

¹ For custom, customary, to be the custom the nouns: $\epsilon\theta$ os, $\epsilon\theta$ iσμόs, $\dot{\alpha}$ κολουθία, συνήθεια, the adjectives: $\epsilon\theta$ ιμος, συνήθης, the verbs: $\epsilon\theta\omega$, $\epsilon\theta$ ίεω are employed cf. Preisigke, *Wörterbuch* s.h.v.

² Cf. on κράτησις of temple land, Otto, Priester u. Tempel I 236; II 39, 2.
 ³ On τίμια cf. Tebt. II 294, 20.

⁴ Cf. Berger Strafklauseln 76; Schwarz, Urkunde 194 and the literature. quoted there; see also Johnson, Roman Egypt 380.

⁵ Cf. Berger l.c. 156; Johnson l.c. 101.

⁶ Cf. T. C. Skeat and E. P. Wegener, J.E.A. XXI (1935) p. 224ff.; Wenger, Actes Oxford 540, 543ff.

In this συγχώρησιs the parties to the contract agree that the sacral contract before the $i\epsilon\rhoo\theta \dot{\nu} \tau a\iota$ should include provisions on dowry καὶ τἆλλα τὰ ἐν ἔθει ὄντα, that is, other customary provisions.⁷ An application of 362 A.D.⁸ informs us that τa έξ έθους δεδόμενα έδνα used to accompany the conclusion of a marriage, and a marriage contract of the 6th cent. A.D., CPR. 30 = M. Chr. 290, provides that the wife will (v. 22) ύπακούειν to her husband καθά τῷ νόμω καὶ τῃ ἀκολουθία συμβαίνει.9

SB. 5589 (cf. also SB. 5276 a-b) mentions an έθος τοθ κάστρου, according to which illiterate people in making their last will and testament have to be represented by literate people.

The division of the inheritance by lot goes back to an έθος, and such a division is considered δίκαιος και ἀκίνητος.¹⁰

Whether the opening proceedings of a last will and testament in the Roman period were regulated by custom, is rather a difficult problem¹¹. Arangio-Ruiz¹² answers in the affirmative, Kreller¹³ in the negative. The truth seems to lie, as usual, in the middle, as some provisions are seemingly of legal,¹⁴ while others are of customary provenance.

As for guardianship of women, the papyri speak of guardians appointed upon the woman's application pursuant to the provisions of the lex Julia et Titia and a S.C.¹⁵ But there are also instances of appointments κατα τα τών 'Ρωμαίων έθη. As the term έθη sometimes means jura, it is very

⁷ Cf. H. J. Wolff, Written and unwritten marriages in Hellenistic and postclassical Roman law 36; Bozza, Aegyptus XIV, 243. ⁸ Cair. Preis. 2, 7 (362 A.D.) cf. Taubenschlag The law of Greco-Roman

Egypt 91; abbreviation: Taubenschlag, Law. ⁹ Cf. also Lond. 1711, 27 (=Cair. Masp. 67. 310, 19) (566/573 A.D.) a

marriage contract, which runs: διαθρέψαι σε γνησίως και ένδιδάσκειν καθ δμοιότητα πάντων [τῶν σ]υμμετρίων μου.
 ¹⁰ Cf. Gen. 11, 7 (350 A.D.) cf. my art. in Arch. f. Pap. VIII, 27ff.

¹¹ Cf. Lond. 171 (b), 18q. (3rd cent. A.D.) ἀξιῶ λυθήναι ἐπὶ σοῦ κατὰ τὸ ἔθος; Ryl. 109, 9 (235 A.D.) ἐκ διαθήκης τῆς καὶ ἀνοιχθε[ίσ]ης κατὰ τὸ ἔθος έν τῷ ἐφ' Έρμοῦ πόλεως Κα[ι] σαρείψ κτλ.

12 Success. testam. 15f. no. 3.

13 Erbrechtl. Unters. 3963.

14 Cf. Mitteis, Grundz. 241.

¹⁵ Cf. Taubenschlag, Law 131.

probable that in the phrase mentioned above the term is used in the latter sense.¹⁶ The same holds for applications in which women claim jus liberorum $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ Poupaiov $\epsilon \theta \eta$.¹²

In the deed of disownment ($\dot{a}\pi o\kappa \eta\rho\nu\xi\iota_s$) in Cair. Masp. 67, 353 verso (569 A.D.) we read (v. 25): $\dot{\epsilon}\xi o\rho\kappa i\zeta\omega \delta\dot{\epsilon} \, \ddot{a}\mu a$ $\kappa a i \tau [\dot{o}] \nu \delta \eta \mu \dot{o} \sigma \iota \nu [\sigma] \kappa \rho i \beta a \kappa a i [\tau] a \beta o \upsilon \lambda [\dot{a}\rho \iota o \nu \kappa] a i \delta \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \iota \kappa o \nu$ $\dots \tau \eta \nu \sigma \upsilon \nu \eta \theta \eta [\dot{a}] \pi o \delta \eta \mu \iota \omega \sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \tau o \hat{\iota} s \, \delta \iota a \tau \upsilon \pi \omega \theta \hat{\iota} \sigma \iota$ $\pi a \rho^{2} \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{\upsilon} \, \delta \rho \iota \sigma \mu o \hat{\iota} s t. i.$ "to give the customary publicity to the decisions formulated by me" (i. e. the person who made the deed of disownment).

The law of property includes legal remedies concerning relations between neighbors. In this respect, a Ptolemaic papyrus, Tebt. 50 of the sec. cent. B.C. may be mentioned in which a $\beta a \sigma i \lambda i \kappa \delta s \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \delta s$ sues his neighbor for preventing him, in disregard of an old established custom, from watering his land from the royal conduct which passed through royal land and through his neighbor's property.¹⁹ Accordingly in Mil. Univ. 26, 12 the drawer of the contract grants to the buyer: $\chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \tau a \delta s \tau a \nu a \rho o \nu \rho \omega \nu i \sigma \delta \delta \delta \sigma s \kappa a i \epsilon \delta \delta \delta \sigma s \kappa a i$ $<math>\pi \sigma \tau \sigma \mu \sigma \delta s \kappa a i \epsilon \kappa \chi v \sigma \epsilon \sigma i \kappa a \tau a \sigma s \delta \lambda \lambda a s \chi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma i \pi a \sigma i \kappa a \tau a \tau \eta \nu$ $\epsilon \pi a \mu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \chi \rho i \tau \sigma v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i a \nu$, not only the right of using channels but also entrances and exits and other advantages according to an custom practised up to the present time.

In the field of obligations, provisions of customary character are frequent in leases of land such as the provision concerning management of land,²⁰ transport of fruits,²¹ the amount of rent,²² as well as payments in installments²³ and

¹⁶ Cf. Kreller l.c. 396, 3.

17 Cf. Taubenschlag, Law 133.

¹⁸ Cf. Taubenschlag, Law 10222.

¹⁹ Cf. Taubenschlag, Law 196.

²⁰ SB.4774, 8 (Byz. period) δεχόμενος παρά σου την έμην έξ αὐτης γεωργί(αν) προς το έθος.

²¹ Oxy. 1692, 11, 12 (188A.D.), lease of ἀμπελουργικὰ ἕργα in a vineyard and adjoining reed plantations: μεταφορὰ τούτου εἰς τὸν συνήθη τόπον; (v. 22) καὶ ἔτι ψυλάξι ἐν ἀγρῷ τὸ σύνη [θες?].

²² Tebt. 815 fr. 3 verso v. 12 (218-221 B.C.) ὑμολογεῖ-[μεταδε] δωκέναιἐκφορίου κατὰ [τὸ ἔθος?] τῆς κ(ώμης); Gen. 16 = W. Chr. I 354, 13 (207 A.D.) μισθοῦται καὶ σπείρεται κατὰ τὴν συνήθεια[ν] [ἐ]κφορίο[υ κατ' ἄρουραν]; PSI. 953, 87 (6th cent. A.D.); 954, 53 (6th cent. A.D.) ὑπερ μισθοῦ κατὰ ἔθ(ος), cf. PSI. 1058, 11 (5th/6th cent. A.D.) ἔθιμος μισθὸς with reof additional gifts,²⁴ payments of public charges²⁵ and reduction of rent for $d\beta \rho \alpha \chi (a.^{26})$

In Or. Gr. Inscr. Sel. II, 669 (v. 10)²⁷ the prefect Tiberius Gallus Alexander, declares that the practice to force people to farm taxes, violates the old provincial custom and promises to restore the former situation.

Other papyri give information on the locatio-conductio operarum. In Flor. 180,8 (Apion's correspondence) the writer of the letter informs the addressee: $\delta\eta\lambda\omega\theta\hat{\eta}\nuai\sigma_{0}\tau\hat{\sigma}\nu$ $\mu\omega\sigma\partial\hat{\sigma}\nu\delta\nu\epsilon i\omega\partial\alpha\sigma_{0}\lambda\mu\beta\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon_{1}\nu\delta_{0}\epsilon^{\dagger}\sigma_{1}\nu\delta_{0}\epsilon^{\dagger}\xi^{\dagger}\epsilon^{\dagger}\partial\sigma_{0}\kappa_{1}\lambda_{0}$ $\kappa\tau\lambda$, Oxy. 1427 (3rd cent. A.D.) mentions the "customary" rate of payments for diggers employed by the government in repairing canals on the river, and Strassb. 40, 48 (569 A.D.) and SB. 4490, 24 (7th cent. A.D.) the additional customary gifts in contracts with servants.²⁸

gard to a goldsmith-shop.

²³ Ryl. 99, 12 (3rd cent. A.D.) καὶ τὸν συναγόμενον φόρ[ο]ν διαγράψω ἐπὶ τὴν ἐν Ἐρμοῦ πόλει δημοσίαν τράπεξ[α]ν ταῖς εἰθισμέναις ἀριθμήσεσιν.

²⁴ Giss. 46, 20 (6th cent. A.D.) [π] aρέξω δὲ κατ' ἔτο [s] τοὺs σηνήθεις τῶντρυγῶν κ [άδουs] cf. Grenf. I 57, 16 (561 A.D.); Hamb. 23, 25 (569 A.D.);S.B. 4483, 19 (7th cent. A.D.); Cair. Masp. 104, 14 (530 A.D.) [Δώσω δὲκαὶ τ]ὸν φόρον τῶν ψοινίκων καὶ τὰ ἄλλα ἔθειμα κατὰ τὴν δύν [aμν] τῆς αὐτῆςμισθώσεως; SB. 7369, 25 (512 A.D.); Wess. Stud. XX. 218, 28 (7th cent.A.D.); Lond. 1771, 8 (6th cent. A.D.); Lond. III 1036, 8 (p. 268), (6thcent. A.D.); PSI. 936, 3 (6th cent. A.D.); Ross. Georg. V, 42, 18(602 A.D.).

²⁵ Tebt. 373, 13 (110/11 A.D.) δημόσ[ι]α φόρ[ετρα πάντα ἀκο]λούθως [τῆ τῶν ἀρο]υρῶν συνηθεία κτλ. Cf. Tebt. 376, 19 (162 A.D.) see also D 26, 7, 32[°]₅6 (Mod. lib. VI resp.) Lucius Titius coheres et curator sororis suae, cum esset ex civitate, in qua usitatum erat ipsos dominos praediorum, non conductores onera annonarum et contributionum temporariarum sustinere, morem hunc et consuetudinem semper observatam secutus est.

²⁶ P. Brem. 36, 14 (117 A.D.) παρεδεχομένης μοι ἐν ἀβρόχω καὶ τῆς ἡμισέας τῆς ἐπηντλημένης κατὰ τὸ ἔθος. Cf. Giss. 4, 21; 5, 14; 6, 21 see Waszynski, Bodenpacht 121ff.; cf. C. 4, 65, 18 (a. 290) Excepto tempore, quo edaci lucustarum pernicie sterilitatis vitium incessit, sequentis temporis fructus, quo tibi iuxta praeteritam consuetudinem deberi constiterit, reddi tibi praeses provinciae iubebit; C. 4, 65, 19 (a. 293) Circa locationes atque conductiones maxime fides contractus servanda est, si nihil specialiter exprimatur contra consuetudinem regionis. quod si alii remiserunt contra legem contractus atque regionis consuetudinem pensiones, hoc aliis praeiudicium non possit adferre.

²⁷ Cf. Wilcken, Ostraka 592.
²⁸ Cf. Taubenschlag, Law 282.

Significant is the locatio-conductio operis in Cair. Masp. 67,001 (514 A.D.), a contract with ποιμένες and αγροφύλακες with the KOLVOV from Aphrodito. The formers declare to the latter (v. 11): "Εθος έστι ειώθαμεν έκ πατέρων ήμω[ν κα]ί [π]ρ[ογ]όνων [έκτελέσαι] παραφυλακήν όλων κτημάτων πάσης τής αὐτής κώμης and in SB. 6266 (6th cent. A.D.) the corporation of appeural takes the obligation upon it to execute all orders (v. 14ff.): πρός τὸ παλαιὸν καὶ γονικὸν ἡμῶν ἔθος ἀόκνως.²⁹ Ross. Georg. III, 47 (6th cent. A.D.) refers to a part of a μισθός paid (v. 4): $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ έθους for services in a bath-installation. Noteworthy are the customs concerning sales. In SB. 5275, 25 (11 A.D.) the seller declares: Exel de kai the kat αὐτῆς ήθισμένην ἀποστα $[\sigma i]$ ου $[\gamma \epsilon]$ γονυῖαν, indicating that the συγγραφή άποστασίου is of customary provenance. In PSI. 905, (26/7 A.D.) the seller promises BeBaiwors against any attack on the concerned catoecic land (v. 13) : κατα τας έτι άνωθεν συνηθίας. 3.0

BGU. 362, XV, 4 (3rd cent. A.D.) mentions $\sigma v v \eta \theta \eta s \tau \delta \kappa \sigma s$ in loans.³¹ The contract of deposit in Wess. 20,45 (237 A.D.) shows a characteristic feature. As we know the Roman legislation provided that the depositee was liable to pay duplum for denial.³² In this papyrus, however, the depositee promises (v. 7ff.): $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \ \phi v \lambda \delta [\xi \omega] \ \pi a \rho' \epsilon \mu a v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} s \ \kappa a \lambda'$ $\delta \mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \omega s \ \delta \pi \sigma \delta [\omega \sigma \omega] \ \sigma o \iota \ \delta \pi \delta \tau' \ \epsilon a \lambda' \ \delta \pi a \iota \tau \eta \theta \omega [\upsilon] \pi \delta' \ \sigma \tilde{\upsilon}' \ \delta \iota \epsilon \omega'$ $\delta \kappa \eta s \ \kappa a \lambda' \ \kappa \rho (\sigma \epsilon \omega s \ \kappa a \lambda' \ \pi \delta [\sigma] \eta s \ [\epsilon] \upsilon \rho \eta \sigma \iota \lambda \sigma \gamma (a [s \ \kappa a \tau a \ \tau \delta v] \ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \ \pi a \rho a \theta \eta \kappa \tilde{\omega} v \ v \delta \mu o v \ \tau \epsilon \ \kappa a \lambda' \ \epsilon \ell \theta \iota \sigma \mu [\delta] v.$ The quotation of $\pi a \rho a - \theta \eta \kappa \tilde{\omega} v \ v \delta \mu o s$ and $\epsilon \ell \theta \iota \sigma \mu \delta s$ seems to indicate that some provisions as, for instance, those concerning the sanction for denial can be traced back to v \delta \mu o s, while other, as to *custodia*, to custom.

In connection with private law, some remarks on customs in notary-activities may be in order.

In BGU. 1771 (63/2 B.C.) in an act concerning µetemi-

29 Cf. Taubenschlag, Law 284, 4.

³⁰ Cf. D 21, 2, 6 (Gaius lib. dec. ad ed. prov.) Si fundus venierit, ex consuetudine eius regionis in qua negotium gestum est pro evictione caveri oportet.

³¹ Cf. Otto l.c. I 321.

³² Cf. Taubenschlag, Law, 264/5.

 $\gamma pa \phi \dot{\eta}$ the expression (v. 20): $\partial i \kappa o \nu o \mu \hat{\epsilon} v \dot{\delta} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} v \dot{\delta} \mu o \dot{i} \omega v \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\ell} \theta i \sigma \tau a[\iota]$ occurs. In a letter, Oxy. 1666, 18 (3rd cent. A.D.) the writer addresses his brother. "Do you then, brother, see to the deed of mortgage, so that it be prepared in the customary way; $\kappa a \theta \dot{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \theta o s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v$," and in Grenf. II, 71, II, 26 (244/8 A.D.) refers to the publication of private documents as to $\tau \dot{\eta} v \sigma v v \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \sigma v v$. Significant is the order in Oxy. 3418 = M. Chr. 188 (12 A.D.) that the clerks employed in local archives throughout the country should, following the traditional custom, make list of the contracts deposited In the public record offices, giving a short description of the contents.

Finally we know that in the Byzantine period a practice developed that some juristic facts arising outside, could be incorporated in a record by an authority equipped with *jus actorum conficiendorum*.³³ Thus we read in Oxy. 1885 (509 A.D.), a petition to a *defensor* to order "that the certified statement on the matter be given me (i.e. to the applicant) as usual by the town-clerk."

II. The earliest mention of $\ell \theta \sigma s$ in penal law is Edg. Mich. 55, 26 (248 B.C.). The papyrus points out that the order to release prisoners must be read by the king "as this is the regular procedure: $\pi a \rho a \tau \delta \ell \theta \sigma s \ell \nu a \iota$." Papyri of the 2nd cent. B.C. knew of $\ell \ell \theta \sigma \mu \ell \nu a \iota$ $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda (a \iota^{34})$ directed to police-authorities. Lips I 33 II 17 = M. Chr. 55 = P. Meyer, Jur. Pap. N° 88 (368 A.D.)³⁵ refers in (v. 17) to $\sigma \nu \nu \eta \theta \eta$ $\nu \pi \sigma \sigma \eta \mu \omega \sigma \iota s$ in $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda (a \ell \xi a \vartheta \theta \eta \nu \tau (a s \tau \sigma \upsilon \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \rho (o \upsilon v))$ be noticed that in Jand. 139 (148 A.D.) a dig-overseer swears to the idiologos (v. 34ff.): $\ell \theta \sigma s \mu \eta \epsilon \nu a \iota$ that a digoverseer report to him on mulberry-trees which have fallen to the ground as this is the $\kappa \omega \mu \sigma \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \nu s$ duty.

III. Now we proceed to the administrative law. As the administrative law has different branches---law concerning the state-officials, finances, including monopolies, banks, forced labor and liturgies, measures and weighs, traffic

- ³⁴ Cf. Taubenschlag, Law, 411.
- ³⁵ Cf. P. Meyer, l.c. note ad 88, 17.

³³ Cf. Taubenschlag, Law, 401.

(land, sea), population, sanitation, education—we have to go through all these branches.

It is well known that the salary, συνήθεια, of public officials has its origin in custom.³⁶ Συνήθεια is, as the commentator of P. Jand. 37 says, "pensio quae ex consuetudine praestatur, quae plerumque primo voluntaria, postea autem perpetua et necessaria videtur fuisse." Such are the salaries of the riparius,³⁷ tribunus,³⁸ commentariensis,³⁹ defensor,⁴⁰ numerarius,⁴¹ ordinarius,⁴² the defensor's assistant.

In the field of financial administration, it may be noticed that special treatment with regard to poll-tax was by custom accorded to persons who served the state in certain official capacities.⁴³ The total amount of a tax seemed to be fixed by "tariffs and custom." In Tebt. II 287 (161 A.D.) the fullers and dyers of the Arsinoite nome appeal against the exaction by minor officials of what they held to be an undue amount of the tax upon their respective trade as (v. 5) against $\tau \partial \nu [\gamma \nu] \dot{\omega} \mu \partial \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha i \tau \eta \nu \sigma \nu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \mu \alpha \nu$. In Lond. 1674 (570 A.D.) the villagers complain that the pagarch had attempted to enforce too high an assessment for the village (v. 23): $[\pi \alpha] \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \partial \check{\epsilon} \theta \sigma s$.⁴⁴

It happened in the Ptolemaic and in the Roman period that officials, charged with the collection of taxes, spontaneously, set up innovations. Innovations of this kind are mentioned in UPZ. 191 (111 B.C.) where the oirovóµos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

³⁶ Cf. Gelzer, Arch. f. Pap. V, 353.

³⁷ Cf. Jand. 37, 8ff. (5th/6th cent. A.D.) ὑπèρ συνηθείας τοῦ ῥιπαρ(ίου) κατὰ τὸ ἔθος.

³⁸ Cair. Masp. 67, 040, 2 (6th cent. A.D.) tàs συνηθείαs κατὰ τὸ ἔθοs δοθέντων, παρ' ὑμῶν τῷ κατὰ καιρὸν τριβούνῷ κτλ; on tribunus the commander of troups in a pagarchy of Just. Ed. XIII, 1, 7 and passim.

³⁹ Cair. Masp. 67, 284, 2 (6th cent. A.D.) την κατὰ τὸ ἔθος διδομένην συνήθειαν.

⁴⁰ Oxy. 1860, 10 (6th/7th cent. A.D.) cf. also Ross. Georg. III 34 (523/4 A.D.), see Rouillard, *Administration*² 76.

⁴¹ Jand. 45 (6th/7th cent. A.D.) cf. note 2.

⁴² Ross. Georg. III 35 (523/4 A.D.)

⁴³ Cf. Oxy. 1210, 9 (late first cent. B.C. or early first cent. A.D.) καὶ τῶν διὰ τὸ χρείας τοῖς δημοσίοις παρέχεσθαι συνήθως ἀπολυομένων.

⁴⁴ Cf. also Cair. Masp. 67. 283, 2 (548 A.D.) Ιουλιανός-βού [λεται παρά τὸ ἔθος ἡμῶς ἕλκ [εσ]θαι εἰς παγαρχίαν.

47

άργυρικῶν, in contradiction with royal ordinances, imposed additional payments on παστοφόροι. The παστοφόροι made an application on this behalf to the epistrategos who ordered the epistates not to let (v. 16): μη προσέχειν τοῖς ἐπὶ χρειῶν τεταγμένοις καινίζειν τι μηδὲ πράσσειν παρὰ τοὺς ἐξ ἀρχῆς έθ[ι]σμοὺς τοὺς σημαινομένους πασ[το]φόρους.⁴⁵ Similar innovations are also blamed in the edict of Tiberius Julius Alexander.⁴⁶

Under Diocletian, a new system of taxation was inaugurated, which called for a careful survey of land. The survey was attested by two surveyors, three *iuratores*, the adjutant of the decaproti and the horiodeiktes.⁴⁷ The owner of the property made a declaration, taking an oath by the Fortune and Victory of the Emperors. Should the surveyor find that the survey did not agree with the declaration then the practice was to make objections to his report.⁴⁸

The execution of taxes is executed according BGU. 1062, 14 = W. Chr. I 276 (236/7 A.D.): $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ [τ] $\epsilon \tau \delta \nu \tau \eta s \omega \nu \eta s$ $\gamma \nu \omega \mu [ova \kappa \alpha \lambda] \tau \eta \nu \tau o v \nu \omega \rho v \sigma \sigma \nu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \omega a \nu$.⁴⁹ Such customs are mentioned in Lond. II N° 306 (p. 118/9) = W. Chr. 263 (145 A.D.) that the $\pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ shall hand over the accounts of the taxes, and therewith, presumably, the money received in the customary installments, to the competent authorities. Oxy. 1887, 12 (538 A.D.) indicates that with the imports some customary charges were combined.⁵9

In Amh. 92 = W. Chr. 311 (162/3 A.D.), an application for lease of oil-monopoly, the applicant declares (v. 20): $\delta\omega\sigma\omega$ [δ]è καὶ ὑπèρ διπλώματος ἴππων δύο τ[à] κατὰ συνήθιαν νόμιμα. The δίπλωμα is the permission to drive horses on pub-

⁴⁵ UPZ. 185 Col. II, 4ff. είπαμεν κατ[a]κολουθεῖν τοῖς ἐξ ἀρχής ἐθισμοῖς κἀὶ μη[δὲν ἐνκαινί]ζειν. cf. Wilcken, Ostraka 568, cf. also Tebt. 40, 19 (117 B.C.).

48 Or. Gr. Inscr. Sel. II 669 (v. 47 and 62).

47 Cf. Boak, Etudes de papyrologie III 25ff.

⁴⁸ Princ. II 119, 47 (4th cent. A.D.) ἐὰν δὲ καὶ ὁ κηνσίτωρ ὁ ἀποσταλεὶς ἐκμετρήσας εὖρῃ τι [π] λείψ πλέον φιλεργηθείσαν ὑφ' ἡμῶν, οὐ παραιτούμεθα τότε, καθ' ὁμοιότητα τῆς ἐπαρχίας καὶ ἀπογράψασθαι . . . ὡς δὲ ἐπιδὴ πονηρὸν ἔθος εἰσάγει κτλ.

49 Cf. Fay. 34 (161 A.D.) see Oertel Liturgie 134, 2.

50 v. II, [τελέσματα μ]ετὰ των έξ έθους αὐτῶν παντοίων ἀναλωμάτων.

lic roads supervised by the government⁵¹ for which a customary fee used to be paid. BGU. 697 = W. Chr. 321 (145 A.D.) concerning the aloe-monopoly states that the καμηλοτρόφος received his expenses for the import-duty and his customary transport-wages.

The delivery of garment supplied to the gladiatorial school in Alexandria, as in Lips. 57 (261 A.D.)⁵² or to the army, as is probably the case in Oxy. 144853 (about 318 A.D.) is based on an $\xi \theta_{00}$:⁵⁴ This custom persisted also in the Byzantine period. Lond. 1352; 5 (710 A.D.)⁵⁵ contains an order for seventy καμίδια intended for the Muslims in Egypt. Grenf. II 80 (402 A.D.)⁵⁶ is concerned with the affairs of a certain man who was burdened with the hereditary λειτουργία of either serving as a rower in the state-galley belonging to the governor of the Thebaid, or of paying the wages of the substitute κατά την συνήθειαν. Oxy. I 86 = W. Chr. 46 (338 A.D.) reads: "Εθος έστιν τοῦ παρασχεθήναι πρὸς [ύπ]ηρεσίαν τοῦ αὐτοῦ δημοσίου πλοίου [ἐκ] τῆς πόλεως ναύτην ένα. As the official of the $\phi v \lambda \dot{\eta}$ protracts the case, the pilot applies to the curator civitatis to force the official to present the sailor. In Cair. Masp. 67, 006, 5 a widow complains that she had been forced to a $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \nu \rho \gamma \eta \mu a \pi a \rho a \tau \delta$ έθος. Oxy. 900 (322 A.D.) is a petition to a λογιστής from a functionary who had been nominated as an annual superintendent of the express-post and who complained of the failure of certain donkey-drivers to support him in carrying on his duties (v. 7) : $\epsilon_{\chi\rho\eta\nu}$ τοίνυν τους ϵ_{ξ} $\epsilon_{\theta\sigma\nu\sigma}$ ταύτην την χώραν άποπληρούντας-ύπακούειν. An order of the praeses of the Thebais mentions that the riparios enjoys, Cair. Masp. 67, 281, 5: ύπούργουσαν και την έξ έθους δημοσίαν βοήθειαν. 57

⁵¹ Cf. Wilcken, Ostraka 361.

52 Cf. Leipz. 59-60 (371 A.D.).

58 It may be mentioned that the persons discharging their duties had to produce τὰ ἐξ ἔθους γράμματα cf. Oertel l.c. 217. ⁵⁴ Cf. Princ. 27, 2 (191¹/192 A.D.).

⁵⁵ Cf. the introd. with reference to Becker, Beiträge II 85.

56 Cf. Wilcken, Grundzüge 410; Oertel, Liturgie 226ff.

⁵⁷ Cf. Oxy. 904, 4 (5th cent. A.D.) παρέχων μοι καὶ πρὸς βοήθειαν οἰκέτας τε καὶ συμμάχους καὶ [ǚ]λλους τοὺς ὀφείλοντας τὴν παραφυλακὴν τῆς πόλεως ποιείσθαι κτλ.

Banks were in the Ptolemaic period state-institutions which developed their own routine. Noteworthy in this respect is Edg. Mich. 9 verso 4 (257 B.C.) which refers to a $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \sigma_S \tau \eta_S \epsilon i \partial \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta_S \delta \iota a \gamma \rho a \phi \eta_S$.⁵⁸ Quite different are the orders of payments carried out by officials. As Amh. 61 (163 B.C.) shows these orders were supplied with signatures of the officials authorising payments (v. 16/7): $\delta i \delta \nu \kappa a \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \kappa a i \delta s$ $\epsilon i \partial \iota \sigma \theta a \iota$.⁵⁹ Frisk, Bankakten informs us that for orders of the $\delta \epsilon \pi i \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \delta \omega \nu$ to the banks the signature of the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \delta s$ $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \delta s$ and another adequate official is required, ⁶⁰ while the payees state (I, 9, 21) $\delta s \epsilon \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \theta \eta \mu (\epsilon \theta a) \delta \sigma \gamma \rho \nu$ $\delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s \kappa a \tau a \tau \delta \epsilon \theta \sigma s$. The same holds for payments carried out by municipal authorities.⁶¹ The municipal senate had to be $\kappa a \tau a \tau \delta \epsilon \theta \sigma s$ informed that payment was carried out.⁶²

In this connection auctions made by the state may be noted. Oxy. 370 (1st cent. A.D.)⁶³ is a conclusion of an agreement concerning a payment of 3320 drachmae ending: $a_s \kappa a_i$ $\delta_{ia\gamma\rho\dot{a}\phi\phi\mu\epsilon\nu} \epsilon_{is}^{i} \tau_{\eta\nu} \delta_{\eta\mu\sigma\sigmaia\nu} \tau_{\rho\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\zetaa\nu} \tau_{a\hat{i}s}^{i} \delta_{\rhoi\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu ais} \pi_{\rho\sigma\theta\epsilon\sigma\muiais} \kappa_{a\tau\dot{a}} \tau_{\dot{\sigma}}^{i} \epsilon_{\theta\sigmas}^{i} \kappa_{a\hat{i}} \epsilon_{i\sigma\sigma\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu}^{i} \tau_{\dot{a}}^{i} \delta_{\eta\mu\sigma\taua} \kappa_{\tau\dot{\lambda}}$.

Measures and weights are the last branch of financial administration. Eleph. XIV, 9, 10, a regulation concerning lease of temple-land, provides an $\epsilon i \theta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \, a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta$, an earnest money of 17 obols, on the occasion of the conversion of different kinds of money, and the same holds in a late Byzantine papyrus which indicates that the conversion from (nominal) silver to gold is carried on $\epsilon \xi \ \epsilon \theta \sigma v s.^{64}$ BGU. 732 (sec. cent. A.D.) mentions $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \sigma \nu \sigma \sigma \nu \nu \eta \theta \omega s$, similarly Ross. Georg. III 32, 10 (504 A.D.): $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho [\omega \tau] \tilde{\omega} \ a \pi \delta \ \epsilon \theta \sigma v s. \pi a \rho \epsilon \chi [o] \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$.

58 Cf. Gen. 20, 8 (109 B.C.) λαβών την είθισμένην διαγραφήν.

⁵⁹ Cf. the authorizations of various officials in Amh. 31 = W. Chr. 161 (112 B.C.), cf. also Oxy. 55 = W. Chr. 196, 15 (283 A.D.) τον έξοδιασμον ήμειν ποιήσασθαι κατὰ το έθος; Oxy. 1104, 24 (306 A.D.) τὰς συνή(θεις) ἀποχάς.

60 Cf. l.c. p. 44.

⁶¹ Cf. P. Štrassb. Graec. 1168 (258 A.D.) [Wilcken, Arch. f. Pap. IV,
 115] Col. II, 14 ὑπογράψαντος τῷ ἐξοδιασμῷ Αὐρηλίου Διδυμίων[ος . . .].
 ⁶² Col. II, 15.

63 Cf. Oxy. 1633 (275 A.D.).

64 Cf. Oxy. 1904, 2 (618 A.D.).

CUSTOMARY LAW

In the field of cult and worship, the decree Tebt. 6, 40 = W. Chr. 332 (140/39 B.C.), enumerates the means by which the revenues of the temples were damaged. Such were for instance (v. 40): $\partial i \kappa \hat{\epsilon} v$ (in the temples) $\pi a \rho a \tau \delta v \epsilon i \theta \iota \sigma \mu \delta v$. The king opposes this bad custom which had come to stay.

Expenses of the temples were regulated according to an old custom. Aberd. 62 (first cent. A.D.) is an account of temple expenditure: $[\dot{\alpha}\kappa o\lambda o \dot{\upsilon} \theta \omega s \tau \hat{\eta}] \sigma \upsilon \upsilon \eta \theta[\epsilon] \dot{\iota} \alpha$. Persons who do $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \upsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\iota} a \iota$ to gods are entitled to get compensation. Such is the case of the $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\upsilon} \mu a \iota$ who in Lond.⁴ I 22 Recto (p. 7), 15 = UPZ. 17 (163 B.C.) complain: $o \dot{\upsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \dot{\iota} \lambda \dot{\eta} \phi a \mu \epsilon \nu \pi o \iota o \dot{\upsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \lambda s \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \upsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\iota} a s \tau \hat{\omega} \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \iota o \dot{\upsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{a} \gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu a \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau [\upsilon] \hat{\upsilon} \dot{\iota} \epsilon \rho o \hat{\upsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} o \nu \tau a, \kappa a \theta \dot{\sigma} \tau \iota \kappa a [\dot{\iota}] \check{\epsilon} \theta o s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\iota} \nu.⁶⁵$

In the Roman and Byzantine period, offerings dedicated for vows and pious reasons as coming in a customary way into being, are mentioned. We read, for instance, in Oxy. 1449, 12 (213/7 A.D.): "And with regard to other offerings which were dedicated in accordance with ancient custom for vows or pious reasons: $\epsilon\kappa \tau\eta s \ \delta\nu\omega\theta(\epsilon\nu) \ \sigma\nu\nu\eta\theta(\epsilon\alpha s)$."⁶⁶ Accordingly Oxy. 1910, 2 (6th/7th cent. A.D.), containing receipts from and expenditure on estates, has the following passage: $\delta\nu\alpha\lambda\omega\mu(\alpha\tau\sigma s) \ \epsilon\nu \ \delta\gamma\omega$ is $\epsilon\delta s \ \delta\gamma i(\alpha s) \ \epsilon\kappa\kappa\lambda(\eta\sigma i\alpha s)$ $\tau\omega\nu \ \epsilon\xi\eta s \ \kappa\tau\eta\mu(\delta\tau\omega\nu) \ \kappa\alpha\tau\lambda \ \tau\delta \ \epsilon\theta\sigma s.$ ⁶⁷

The papyri of the Ptolemaic period show that libations and sacrifices to the God also were an $\ell \theta \circ s$.⁶⁸ The writer in a letter of the Roman period Oxy. 1464, 4 (250 A.D.) points out: $d\epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \theta \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a i [\sigma \pi \epsilon] \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a i \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota \nu \theta \epsilon \circ i s \epsilon i \theta \delta \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s$ $[\kappa a \tau] a \tau a \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \tau a \delta \tau \eta s \theta \epsilon \delta s \kappa \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon \sigma s$ "in accordance with the orders of the divine decree."

As for festive processions, in Bad. 89 (provisions on the

65 Cf. Wilcken l.c. 178/9.

⁶⁶ Cf. Oxy. 1492 (3rd/ 4th cent. A.D.), a christian letter, cf. Ghedini Lettere cristiane N° XII "If then you have decided in accordance with ancient custom to give the arura to the place."

67 Cf. PSI. 89, 2 (6th cent. A.D.); PSI. 786, 11 (581 A.D.) την έξ έθους άγίαν προσφοράν, cf. P. Meyer, Griech. Texte 97.

⁶⁸ Lond. I 41 (p. 27) (161 B.C.); cf. also SB. 5827, 12 (69 B.C.) where in a petition to the king the terms kai $\tau \omega \nu$ eidioµév $\omega \nu$ èmire λ eidiofai $\dot{\nu}\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu}\mu \omega \nu$ kai $\tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu \theta \upsilon \sigma \omega \omega \nu$ kai $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \omega \nu$ are used; see also Par. 69 = W. Chr. 41 (232 A.D.) Col. II, 13ff. [$\epsilon \nu \theta a \tau \omega \nu \sigma \nu$] $\nu \eta \theta \omega \nu$ is poupyiw. cult of Severus Alexander and Julia Mamaea in Hermupolis magna), the following passage is to be found (verso v. 27): καὶ συνήθια ἀπὸ δημοσίων ὀνηλα[τ]ῶν ἀμφόδο[υ] [φρουρίου] ἀπηλιώτου, ἐν ῶ ἐστιν τόπῷ τὸ καισά[ρ]ων ἰερόν, ἐξ αἰων[ίαs]. [ἐπιδόσεωs εἰs...]s καὶ τροφάs. The festive procession is paid from an eternal foundation.

As to the appointments of priests, Gen. 7, 8 = W. Chr. 80 deals with reclamations of an $d\rho\chi$ unpo $\phi\eta\eta\eta$ s, whose titles to certain priest offices: $\kappa a\tau a \tau \delta \ \epsilon \xi \ d\rho\chi\eta$ s $\epsilon \theta os$, have been misregarded. Oxy. 1116 = W. Chr. 403 (363 A.D.) refers to the presentation of a liturgy at the temple of Augustus for a year (v. 10): $\epsilon \xi \ \epsilon \theta o vs$.

For the discipline of the priests, Fuad III, 10, 10 (120 A.D.) (an excerpt from an edict of T. Haterius Nepos) prescribes: $\lambda\lambda\lambda\lambda\tau\eta$ iepâ kai $\nu[\epsilon vo]\mu\iota\sigma\mu\epsilon v\eta$ $\epsilon\sigma\theta\eta[\tau\iota\chi]\rho\eta\sigma\theta[a\iota]$ $\kappa[a\iota\mu\eta\kappaa]\taua\lambda\iota\epsilon\iotav\tau\eta$ s $\tau\hat{\omega}[\nu]\tau\lambda\epsilon\theta\eta$. Their revenues were secured in the Ptolemaic and in the Roman periods as well, by the state: $\dot{\alpha}\kappao\lambdao\iota\theta\omegas\tau\eta$ $\dot{\alpha}v\omega\theta\epsilon\nu\sigma\upsilon\nu\eta\theta\epsilon\iotaa.$ ⁶⁹

Some sacerdotal duties such as circumcision, were performed "in accordance with custom."⁷⁰ Very characteristic is BGU. 250 = W. Chr. 87 (130 A.D.), where a priest is requested, after a few years have elapsed, to explain why he did not submit a certificate on the sealing of a bull at the time of offering. He apologizes with reference to the fact that the custom to submit certificates (v. 17): $\omega_s \xi_{\sigma\tau\iota\nu}$ $\kappa a \theta a \rho \delta s \kappa a \tau \delta \tau \delta \xi \theta o s \kappa a \delta \xi \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma \theta a \iota$, was introduced by the idiologos in 122/3 A.D. and was not in force in that time. The papyrus shows how customs came into being.

In a letter Oxy. 1848 (6th/7th cent. A.D.) we read about a request that certain dues in kind belonging to a bishop should in accordance with the bishop's requests, be given to a man with a large family and in reduced circumstances

69 Hib. 77, 5 (299 B.C.); Tebt. 298, 61 (107/8 A.D.) return of priests and revenues, ἀκολούθως τŷ ἀν[ωθ]εν συνηθεία; BGU. 707, 13 (2nd cent. A.D.) cf. Otto l.c. 142ff.

⁷⁰ Lond. I 24, 12 (p. 32) (161 B.C.) is $\ell \sigma s \ell \sigma \tau i [\nu]$ tois Aiyuntious $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \ell \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$; Tebt. 292 = W. Chr. 74 (180/90 A.D.); Strassb. Graec. $\ell o = W.$ Chr. 77 (149 A.D.), Col. III, 11 [$\kappa a \tau a \tau \delta \ell \sigma s$?] $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau [\epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta w]$ - $\sigma a \nu$; see also Wess. Stud. XXII, 51, 18 (133 A.D.); SB. 15, 30 (155/6 A.D.); 16, 18 (155/6 A.D.) (v. 5): ώς ἕθος διὰ τὴν κέλευσιν τοῦ αὐτοῦ ὁσιωτάτου ἀνδρὸς τοῦτο πρâξαι. PSI. 208 (3rd/4th cent. A.D.),⁷¹ a Christian letter, orders (v.6): τὸν ἀδελφὸν ἡμῶν . . . παραδέξαι [κ]ατὰ τὸ ἔθος.

The Ptolemaic papyri refer to a written royal oath introduced by custom.⁷² The same holds for the Roman $\xi \theta \mu \sigma s$ $\delta \rho \kappa \sigma s$ on the Tyche of the Emperor.⁷³

Proceeding to traffic customs on land and sea, Ent. 27 = W. Chr. 442 (Euerg. I) must, above all, be taken into consideration. The vauklypos prevented by an average from going to the Thebais for corn, requires with reference to an (v. 9) έθισμός: έάν τισιν των ναυκλήρων τοιου [τό] τι συμβήι, έμφανίζειν τοῖς-τόπων στρατηγοῖς, that the strategos order to lade in Arsinoites, where he landed, the amount of corn, mentioned in his credentials, which he had to lade in Thebais. Oxy. 63,6 (2nd/3rd cent. A.D.)-the bearer of the letter is a captain-reads: "please, to see that his freight is embarked with all dispatch and let it consist as usual of what you have in hand and selected for ladings." And Cair. Masp. 67.030 (p. 64) (v. 8) (531 A.D.) contains orders for carrying off the annona είς την τάξιν πρός την συνήθη τών ύπομνημάτων.⁷⁴ In this connection, Oxy. 1271 (246 A.D.), a petition to the prefect to permit the petitioner to leave the country via Pharos (v. 5): κατά τὸ ἔθος, may be mentioned.

Lond. III 904 (p. 125) Col. II 18-43 = W. Chr. 202 = P. Meyer, Jur. Pap. 2a (104 A.D.), the edict of C. Vibius Maximus on census of the population requires all persons residing outside of their nomes to return to their homes (v. 24): $i\nu[a]$ καὶ τὴν συνήθη [οἰ]κονομίαν τῆ[s ἀπo]γραφῆs πληρώσωσιν.

Oxy. 1202 (217 A.D.), a petition concerning an ephebus,

⁷¹ Cf. Ghedini, Lettere cristiane N° XIII.

⁷² Petr. II 29 (b), 7 = W. Chr. 334 (244/3 B.C.) $\kappa \in [\chi e_1]$ ρογραφήκασι τον είθισμένον όρκον cf. Grenf. II 37, 8 (2nd or 1st cent. B.C.). See Seidl, der Eid im ptol. Recht 39, 87.

73 Cf. Seidl, Eid im röm Provinzialrecht 33ff.

⁷⁴ Cf. Cair. Masp. 67, 280 B, 6 προς τ[η]ν συνήθη πράξιν των ὑπομνημάτων κτλ. belongs to the field of education. "It is the custom (v. 5): $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta ovs \, \delta v \tau os$, since we gained, by the gift of our lord's Severus and the great Antoninus the contest of the ephebi, that those who are for the time being amphodogrammate of the city, should, as the contest of each year approaches, submit and publish a list of those about to become ephebi, in order that each one may assume the status of ephebus at the proper season." Amh. II 70 = W. Chr. 149 (114/7 A.D.) informs us that the gymnasiarchs used to assign sums to the support of the gymnasium.⁷⁵

Of sanitarian character is probably the provision in Oxy. 1454 (116 A.D.), a declaration of municipal bakers that they will expose bread for sale with the "customary sellers, either here or wherever we are ordered to expose." Giss. Bibl. 2 (2nd cent. A.D.) may also belong here. The application deals with forbidden import, slaughtering of pigs and their sale (v.16): $[a\nu] \epsilon v \sigma v \tau \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \omega s \kappa [a \dot{a}] \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \dot{i} \theta \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \eta s [\sigma v v \chi] - \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s.$

For the military administration Grenf. I 48 = W. Chr. 416 (191 A.D.) deserves attention as it informs us that in forced sales of goods for the army, their prices were fixed in advance by custom (v. 15): $\xi \xi \delta \theta ovs$.

RAFAEL TAUBENSCHLAG.

⁷⁵ Oxy. 42, 3 = W. Chr. 154 (323 A.D.), a proclamation by the *curator* with reference to an approaching gymnastic display by the youth of Oxyrynchus, "Tradition ($\epsilon\theta\sigma$ s), no less than the distinguished character of the festival, requires that they should do their utmost in the gymnastic display."

54