

# Henryk Kupiszewski

---

"Dowód z opinii biegłych w prawie kanonicznym = Proof from the surveyorship in the Canon Law", Stanisław Płodzień, Lublin 1958 : [recenzja]

---

The Journal of Juristic Papyrology 14, 203

---

1962

Artykuł został zdigitalizowany i opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez Muzeum Historii Polski w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej [bazhum.muzhp.pl](http://bazhum.muzhp.pl), gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.

for the papirologists in such degree in which the author deals with the origins of *longi temporis praescriptio* which are known to us from the papyri. [H.K.]

Stanisław Płodzień, *Dowód z opinii biegłych w prawie kanicznym* (*Proof from the surveyorship in the Canon Law*) Lublin 1958 pp. 168.

The second chapter of this monograph concerning the canon law process is devoted to the proof by opinion of the experts and its historical evolution (p. 41 ff.). The author discusses successively the problem of experts in Jewish sources and in the Roman law from the oldest times to Justinian. Special attention is given to the provincial practice (especially in the Roman Egypt). The papyrological records of judicial proceedings have furnished the author with copious information on the subject and have made it possible for him to demonstrate how the principles of the Roman law were applied in daily practice (e.g. *edictum de inspiciendo ventre* D. 24, 4, 10 and *P. Genf.* published in *Archiv f. Pap.* 3 (1903) 373 ff. by U. Wilcken). [H.K.]

Artur Steinwenter, *Das Verfahren sine scriptis im justinianischen Prozessrecht* (*Sav. Zeitschr.* 76 (1959) pp. 306—323).

This posthumous article is a successive monograph of the deceased Master on the iurisdiction and procedure of the late Roman Empire. From the rich treasury of his knowledge he gave us the solution of one of the important and difficult problems of judicial proceedings. For the papyrologist it will most certainly be of high interest what the author says about the division of jurisdictional competence between the prefect and the *defensor civitatis* (p. 319 ff.). [H.K.]

R. Taubenschlag, *Die körperliche Züchtigung im Rechte der Papyri* (*Études de Papyrologie* t. 8 (1957) pp. 97—101).

The author gives here a short description of the character and application of the torture and corporal punishment in the Ptolemaic and Roman Egypt. [C.K.]